



FIBA

We Are Basketball

FIBA DESCRIPTIVE ANALYTICS REPORT 2020



CONTENTS

Introduction – Contents and Structure.....	3
FIBA U17 Basketball World Cups.....	5
FIBA U17 Women’s Basketball World Cups (2010, 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018)	5
FIBA U17 Men’s Basketball World Cups (2010, 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018).....	15
FIBA U19 Basketball World Cups.....	25
FIBA U19 Women’s Basketball World Cups (2011, 2013, 2015, 2017, 2019)	25
FIBA U19 Men’s Basketball World Cups (2011, 2013, 2015, 2017, 2019).....	35
FIBA Basketball World Cups	45
FIBA Women’s Basketball World Cups (2010, 2014, 2018).....	45
FIBA Men’s Basketball World Cups (2010, 2014, 2019)	55
Olympic Games – Basketball Tournaments	65
Olympic Games: Tournament for Women (2008, 2012, 2016).....	65
Olympic Games: Tournament for Men (2008, 2012, 2016)	75

Introduction - Contents and Structure

The aim of these reports is to provide a broad overview of key performance indicators in the FIBA U17 and U19 Women and Men World Cups, Senior Women and Men World Cups and Olympics for Women and Men. Additionally, this analysis was broadened by including the data for both Men (NBA) and Women (WNBA) as a comparison benchmark.

The International Basketball Federation (FIBA) and the World Association of Basketball Coaches (WABC) would like to acknowledge Dr Markus Klusemann from Munich, Germany and also colleagues from the NBA, Chris Ebersole, Rebecca Higgitt and Tim Skinner for their astute insights, collaboration and compilation of these excellent reports on behalf of the FIBA WABC.

All data was collated into one extensive database and analysed using data analytics software (Tableau).

The reports are structured into five sections:

1. Team analysis of the most recent FIBA event

The team analysis involves a comparison in the box score statistics of the Top 4, Middle 4 and Bottom 8 ranked teams. Both the accumulated team statistics as well as a bar graph highlight key factors that differentiate higher from lower ranked teams.

2. Individual player performance analysis of the most recent FIBA event

Player performance is being highlighted by three categories – Scoring (Points per Game), effective FG% (eFG%) and efficiency (EFF). This information can highlight the playing level required for top international players.

3. Longitudinal comparison of shooting and competition of all FIBA events since 2008

The longitudinal comparison involves three shooting categories; 3-pt shooting, shot location and shooting efficiency. The changes in these statistics over time can help guide the coach in evaluating the importance of specific scoring options for team preparation, as well as for future development of players. Additionally, a game analysis gives an overview of the percentage of close, balanced and unbalanced game outcomes which can help guide the planning and preparation for tournament competition.

4. League comparison statistics between FIBA and NBA/WNBA competition

League comparison statistics show the differences in various performance indicators between the FIBA competitions and as appropriate the NBA or WNBA professional leagues. The NBA/WNBA league data is adjusted to 40min playing time to comply with the FIBA playing time for a standardised comparison. Playoff games were chosen as these match the demands of international FIBA competition more closely than regular season games. For the junior FIBA competitions this comparison can provide valuable insight into the performance gap that needs to be overcome in the development of elite junior basketball athletes. For the senior competitions, the data can highlight differences in the various formats and help professional coaches best prepare their athletes for their respective competition. Each of these sections concludes with brief key points for coaches, support staff and administrators to consider.

5. Appendices including shot distribution by team, in addition to distribution of various statistics by position over time.

The appendices highlight the distribution of various statistics by team and by position over time. This information provides deeper insight into the performances of different playing positions and indicates trends in the style of play required by guards, perimeter and post players.

Sections 1 to 4 conclude with brief key points for coaches, support staff and administrators to consider.

We hope that this information will provide insights for both senior and junior coaches in developing their athletes and teams to higher levels of competition.

We also hope that these FIBA Descriptive Analytics Reports 2020 assist and encourage further enquiry and analysis and in particular promote the effective application of this data for highest level basketball competition.



Andreas Zagkis
Secretary General
FIBA



Patrick Hunt
President
World Association of Basketball Coaches (WABC)
Chairman
FIBA Technical Commission

FIBA U17 BASKETBALL WORLD CUPS

FIBA U17 WOMEN'S BASKETBALL WORLD CUPS (2010, 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018)



Team Box Score Stats



Women's U17 FIBA World Cup - 2018


		Points	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	FTA	FT%	EFF	eFG%	TS%	OREB	DREB	OREB %	DREB %	AS	TO	ST	BS	PF	FD	PR
Top 4	1 USA	89.3	58.0	55.2%	17.4	27.9%	16.7	64.1%	127.7	52.1%	56.4%	20.1	30.7	39.6%	60.4%	26.1	13.7	13.9	5.9	12.1	16.4	41.3
	2 FRA	65.0	45.3	39.1%	25.3	26.0%	15.1	65.1%	77.4	39.1%	44.0%	15.7	26.9	36.9%	63.1%	17.6	14.6	12.7	5.7	16.9	15.0	53.1
	3 AUS	65.9	46.6	43.3%	16.7	28.2%	16.6	69.0%	75.0	43.0%	49.2%	12.6	27.0	31.8%	68.2%	15.3	15.1	9.4	3.6	17.3	17.6	47.7
	4 HU..	66.0	51.7	43.4%	17.0	27.7%	10.9	64.5%	75.3	42.9%	46.4%	12.9	26.3	32.8%	67.2%	18.6	16.7	9.3	4.4	19.3	12.7	61.1
	Mean	71.5	50.4	45.8%	19.1	27.3%	14.8	65.8%	88.9	44.5%	49.2%	15.3	27.7	35.6%	64.4%	19.4	15.0	11.3	4.9	16.4	15.4	50.8
Middle 4	5 ITA	65.1	48.0	40.5%	13.7	26.0%	25.3	61.6%	67.9	40.2%	48.4%	13.1	24.4	35.0%	65.0%	16.6	20.0	14.6	2.4	19.7	19.6	61.0
	6 ESP	62.3	54.3	41.8%	13.0	18.7%	16.1	59.3%	67.3	39.2%	44.0%	13.9	20.9	39.9%	60.1%	14.7	14.9	15.6	3.6	17.3	17.9	61.4
	7 JPN	73.7	54.9	39.6%	20.7	26.2%	20.4	68.5%	80.6	39.5%	46.0%	14.9	26.3	36.1%	63.9%	17.6	9.4	10.0	2.4	14.0	18.3	62.4
	8 LAT	54.4	38.0	37.2%	23.7	22.9%	15.1	65.1%	49.0	36.1%	41.8%	11.4	27.1	29.6%	70.4%	14.3	21.3	7.7	2.7	17.9	16.4	65.4
	Mean	63.9	48.8	40.0%	17.8	23.7%	19.3	63.6%	66.2	38.8%	45.1%	13.3	24.7	35.1%	64.9%	15.8	16.4	12.0	2.8	17.2	18.0	62.6
Bottom 8	9 CAN	61.7	46.7	39.1%	15.3	31.8%	16.7	63.2%	68.7	41.2%	47.0%	16.1	25.4	38.8%	61.2%	16.3	21.3	10.9	4.6	16.0	16.4	54.7
	10 MLI	55.3	38.4	39.8%	25.7	19.4%	17.1	56.7%	52.7	35.5%	40.7%	12.3	26.7	31.5%	68.5%	15.1	17.9	11.0	1.4	16.1	14.9	69.0
	11 CHN	70.4	45.9	44.5%	22.0	26.6%	17.9	67.2%	74.0	43.1%	49.1%	11.9	26.3	31.1%	68.9%	18.7	16.4	8.0	2.6	16.3	14.7	70.1
	12 NZL	57.1	37.9	36.2%	24.0	23.8%	17.4	72.1%	55.1	36.0%	43.5%	12.7	25.7	33.1%	66.9%	15.0	20.3	8.3	3.9	14.3	17.9	71.6
	13 ARG	59.3	37.6	39.9%	16.7	29.9%	21.9	65.4%	56.4	41.4%	50.2%	7.4	25.4	22.6%	77.4%	15.4	22.4	10.0	3.1	17.6	20.3	63.4
	14 COL	50.1	39.1	30.3%	23.3	25.2%	16.9	52.5%	36.9	33.1%	37.9%	11.4	21.4	34.8%	65.2%	11.0	19.1	12.3	2.4	20.1	16.7	70.4
	15 BLR	57.4	43.0	33.9%	19.3	24.4%	18.7	75.6%	49.7	34.7%	43.2%	8.9	25.7	25.6%	74.4%	13.3	21.7	10.1	3.6	20.3	18.3	71.4
	16 ANG	45.3	48.0	25.6%	11.9	21.7%	21.3	61.1%	28.4	27.0%	35.1%	9.9	24.9	28.4%	71.6%	8.6	20.4	10.6	3.0	16.1	17.9	74.1
Mean	57.1	42.1	36.1%	19.8	25.0%	18.5	64.3%	52.8	36.5%	43.3%	11.3	25.2	31.0%	69.0%	14.2	19.9	10.1	3.1	17.1	17.1	68.1	



Team Comparison by Final Rank



Women's U17 FIBA World Cup - 2018



A comparison between the Top 4, Middle 4 and Bottom 8 teams showed clear differences in box score statistics. Overall we are seeing the Top 4 teams perform better in more instances. Specifically, the Top 4 teams scored significantly more points resulting in higher 2P%, 3P%, eFG% and TS%. Additionally, the Top 4 teams had Efficiency ratings nearly 35% better than the Middle 4 teams, and 68% better than the Bottom 8 Teams.



Player Performance



Women's U17 FIBA World Cup - 2018

Top 10 Players by Points per Game

Name	FIBA Code	Pos	Age	Height	Min.	Points	FGA	FG%	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	eFG%	EFF
Sika Kone	MLI	PF	16.0	190.0	32.4	16.9	14.3	49.0%	13.4	50.0%	0.9	33.3%	50.0%	20.1
Shyla Jade Heal	AUS	G	17.0	167.0	30.6	16.0	13.1	50.0%	9.4	54.5%	3.7	38.5%	55.4%	16.9
Sofia Acevedo	ARG	PG	17.0	167.0	28.7	15.7	12.0	36.9%	6.3	40.9%	5.7	32.5%	44.6%	9.0
Charlisse Leger-Walker	NZL	SG	17.0	178.0	33.1	15.1	13.0	37.4%	8.1	43.9%	4.9	26.5%	42.3%	15.6
Yutong Liu	CHN	C	17.0	195.0	21.9	14.4	9.9	55.1%	9.9	55.1%	0.0		55.1%	13.6
Raquel Carrera Quintana	ESP	C	16.0	190.0	27.7	14.1	13.1	41.3%	12.9	42.2%	0.3	0.0%	41.3%	15.0
Ming Zheng	CHN	G	17.0	170.0	24.0	14.1	14.0	38.8%	7.1	48.0%	6.9	29.2%	45.9%	10.3
Giulia Natali	ITA	SG	16.0	176.0	25.3	13.6	12.0	44.0%	9.9	44.9%	2.1	40.0%	47.6%	11.7
Florencia Chagas	ARG	G	17.0	180.0	34.6	13.6	13.7	33.3%	7.6	32.1%	6.1	34.9%	41.1%	11.9
Yuliya Vasilevich	BLR	G	17.0	172.0	30.3	12.7	11.7	25.6%	7.6	26.4%	4.1	24.1%	29.9%	8.6
Mean			16.7	178.5	28.9	14.6	12.7	41.0%	9.2	44.7%	3.5	31.3%	45.3%	13.3

Top 10 Players by Effective Field Goal Percentage

Name	FIBA Code	Pos	Age	Height	Min.	Points	FGA	FG%	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	eFG%	EFF
Aliyah Alisa Boston	USA	F	16.0	193.0	17.0	11.3	7.4	65.4%	7.4	65.4%	0.0		65.4%	18.0
Azzi Jazlyn Fudd	USA	G	15.0	180.0	23.6	9.7	7.6	50.9%	5.3	48.6%	2.3	56.3%	59.4%	12.0
Paige Bueckers	USA	G	17.0	180.0	21.1	9.7	7.9	56.4%	6.3	63.6%	1.6	27.3%	59.1%	14.3
Francesca Belibi	USA	F	17.0	185.0	13.3	8.0	5.6	59.0%	5.6	59.0%	0.0		59.0%	13.4
Haley Irene Jones	USA	G	17.0	185.0	19.7	10.9	8.1	56.1%	6.7	63.8%	1.4	20.0%	57.9%	14.3
Yvonne Uju Ejim	CAN	PF	16.0	182.0	20.6	6.9	5.0	57.1%	5.0	57.1%	0.0		57.1%	13.4
Shyla Jade Heal	AUS	G	17.0	167.0	30.6	16.0	13.1	50.0%	9.4	54.5%	3.7	38.5%	55.4%	16.9
Yutong Liu	CHN	C	17.0	195.0	21.9	14.4	9.9	55.1%	9.9	55.1%	0.0		55.1%	13.6
Anastasiya Babak	BLR	F	16.0	180.0	17.7	6.4	5.0	45.7%	3.0	47.6%	2.0	42.9%	54.3%	8.3
Jiyuan Wan	CHN	C	16.0	185.0	16.6	7.6	5.3	54.1%	5.3	54.1%	0.0		54.1%	12.1
Mean			16.4	183.2	20.2	10.1	7.5	54.8%	6.4	57.5%	1.1	39.0%	57.6%	13.6




Top 10 Players by Efficiency

Name	FIBA Code	Pos	Age	Height	Min.	Points	FGA	FG%	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	eFG%	EFF
Sika Kone	MLI	PF	16.0	190.0	32.4	16.9	14.3	49.0%	13.4	50.0%	0.9	33.3%	50.0%	20.1
Jordan Lynn Horston	USA	G	17.0	183.0	20.9	10.1	8.9	50.0%	6.7	57.4%	2.1	26.7%	53.2%	20.0
Aliyah Alisa Boston	USA	F	16.0	193.0	17.0	11.3	7.4	65.4%	7.4	65.4%	0.0		65.4%	18.0
Aminat Sangare	MLI	PF	16.0	186.0	34.0	12.6	13.6	36.8%	11.7	40.2%	1.9	15.4%	37.9%	17.4
Shyla Jade Heal	AUS	G	17.0	167.0	30.6	16.0	13.1	50.0%	9.4	54.5%	3.7	38.5%	55.4%	16.9
Charlisse Leger-Walker	NZL	SG	17.0	178.0	33.1	15.1	13.0	37.4%	8.1	43.9%	4.9	26.5%	42.3%	15.6
Raquel Carrera Quintana	ESP	C	16.0	190.0	27.7	14.1	13.1	41.3%	12.9	42.2%	0.3	0.0%	41.3%	15.0
Laura Meldere	LAT	PF	17.0	190.0	23.9	10.0	9.1	40.6%	8.0	46.4%	1.1	0.0%	40.6%	14.9
Nerea Hermosa Monreal	ESP	C	17.0	199.0	23.4	10.1	9.3	44.6%	9.3	44.6%	0.0		44.6%	14.4
Paige Bueckers	USA	G	17.0	180.0	21.1	9.7	7.9	56.4%	6.3	63.6%	1.6	27.3%	59.1%	14.3
Mean			16.6	185.6	26.4	12.6	11.0	46.0%	9.3	49.5%	1.6	26.1%	47.9%	16.7



Shooting Stats

Women's U17 FIBA World Cup - 2010, 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018



3-pt shooting appears to remain flat throughout the last 5 U17 FIBA World Cup tournaments. However, as 3-pt shooting has remained unchanged, overall 3-pt accuracy and shooting efficiency rates slightly decreased over the last 5 tournaments. Additionally, shooting efficiency rates in the U17 FIBA World Cup have been lower than those in the NBA Playoffs over the last 5 tournaments.



FIBA
We Are Basketball




WORLD ASSOCIATION OF
BASKETBALL
COACHES

Game Analysis

Women's U17 FIBA World Cup - 2010, 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018

Distribution of Winning Margins



There has been an increase in the percentage of unbalanced games from 2010 through 2014, a slight decrease from 2014 to 2016, and the largest increase from 2016 to 2018. The percentage of balanced games has remained fairly consistent, while the percentage of close games has fluctuated over the last 5 seasons, and was most recently at the third lowest rate of the last 5 tournaments.



FIBA
We Are Basketball

League Comparison Stats




		U17 Women FIBA World Cup					WNBA Playoffs				
		2010	2012	2014	2016	2018	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018
Game Overview	Total Games	46	46	56	49	56	16	19	18	16	17
	Games per Team	7.7	7.7	7.0	6.6	7.0	4.0	4.8	4.5	4.0	4.3
Competitiveness	Points	68.5	64.9	58.2	60.8	62.4	83.0	77.6	80.2	85.1	83.5
	Final Score Margin	21.7	19.7	19.4	16.5	22.0	9.3	9.2	9.7	11.5	10.3
	Min Score Margin	1	1	1	2	1	2	1	1	1	2
	Max Score Margin	70	70	56	60	57	24	17	29	20	32
	Blowout Games	26	24	31	18	30	2	3	4	5	5
	% of Blowout Games	56.5%	52.2%	55.4%	36.7%	53.6%	12.5%	15.8%	22.2%	31.3%	29.4%
	EFF	69.1	62.9	52.2	57.0	65.1	89.2	82.5	89.4	95.2	94.1
Offensive Stats	OREB	12.5	14.6	12.5	14.1	12.8	9.8	11.1	9.1	9.6	9.0
	OREB %	33.2%	35.0%	33.5%	35.5%	33.3%	29.7%	33.3%	27.5%	29.5%	26.1%
	AS	12.6	11.8	10.9	12.5	15.9	17.3	14.9	17.1	19.2	18.5
	TO	17.7	20.4	18.9	18.8	17.8	13.3	12.9	11.7	12.6	10.5
	eFG%	43.5%	38.7%	37.3%	36.9%	39.2%	49.3%	47.3%	48.1%	50.3%	50.3%
	TS%	49.7%	44.8%	43.8%	44.0%	45.3%	57.8%	54.6%	56.4%	58.7%	56.6%
	FGA	65.0	68.4	62.3	64.4	64.9	66.97	67.05	66.86	68.00	70.18
	FGM	25.4	24.2	21.1	21.4	23.1	29.9	28.9	29.9	31.9	31.7
	FG%	39.2%	35.3%	33.9%	33.2%	35.5%	44.7%	43.1%	44.7%	46.9%	45.2%
	3PA	19.5	17.1	17.1	19.2	19.1	15.9	16.4	14.3	14.4	21.7
	3PM	5.6	4.5	4.3	4.8	4.8	6.2	5.6	4.6	4.7	7.2
	3P%	28.6%	26.5%	24.9%	24.9%	25.3%	38.8%	33.9%	32.1%	32.8%	33.2%
	2PA	45.5	51.3	45.2	45.2	45.8	51.1	50.7	52.6	53.6	48.4
	2PM	19.9	19.6	16.9	16.6	18.2	23.8	23.4	25.3	27.2	24.5
	2P%	43.7%	38.3%	37.3%	36.8%	39.8%	46.5%	46.1%	48.1%	50.7%	50.6%
	FTA	18.2	18.4	18.9	21.3	17.8	22.1	17.8	19.4	20.3	16.2
	FTM	12.1	12.0	11.7	13.2	11.4	17.0	14.2	15.8	16.6	12.9
FT%	66.1%	65.1%	61.9%	62.0%	64.5%	76.9%	79.6%	81.4%	81.7%	79.5%	
Defensive Stats	DREB	25.3	27.2	24.8	25.6	25.7	23.3	22.2	23.9	23.0	25.5
	DREB %	66.8%	65.0%	66.5%	64.5%	66.7%	70.3%	66.7%	72.5%	70.5%	73.9%
	ST	10.2	11.7	10.0	10.5	10.9	7.3	7.4	6.9	7.2	5.7
	BS	3.4	3.7	3.1	3.6	3.5	3.9	3.9	4.6	3.6	4.2
	PF	17.1	17.7	18.6	19.4	17.0	20.5	17.7	19.1	19.8	17.1




Appendix

Women's U17 FIBA World Cup - 2018



Women's U17 FIBA World Cup - 2010, 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018






Appendix


Women's U17 FIBA World Cup - 2010, 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018

Guards Bigs Perimeters


Distribution of FGA by Position




Distribution of 3PA by Position




Distribution of Assists by Position




Distribution of Steals by Position



Distribution of Offensive Rebounds by Position



Distribution of Defensive Rebounds by Position














Appendix

Women's U17 FIBA World Cup - 2010, 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018

2010 2012 2014 2016 2018



FIBA U17 BASKETBALL WORLD CUPS

FIBA U17 MEN'S BASKETBALL WORLD CUPS (2010, 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018)



Team Box Score Stats



Men's U17 FIBA World Cup - 2018


		Points	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	FTA	FT%	EFF	eFG%	TS%	OREB	DREB	OREB %	DREB %	AS	TO	ST	BS	PF	FD	PR	
Top 4	1	USA	107.0	64.1	57.5%	20.1	26.2%	26.9	64.9%	138.1	53.1%	59.3%	20.4	27.3	42.8%	57.2%	22.4	13.6	19.1	7.0	15.4	20.4	53.3
	2	FRA	80.0	45.6	55.8%	18.6	25.4%	22.1	67.7%	92.1	50.7%	58.0%	10.3	27.0	27.6%	72.4%	15.3	17.0	12.0	5.7	21.3	19.1	63.0
	3	PUR	77.9	52.3	47.5%	21.1	27.0%	18.0	61.1%	83.6	45.5%	50.3%	12.7	24.3	34.4%	65.6%	15.9	14.0	13.4	3.3	19.1	15.7	69.1
	4	CAN	86.6	51.7	50.0%	19.1	38.1%	19.7	65.9%	96.6	51.9%	57.6%	12.9	28.3	31.3%	68.8%	18.4	18.4	9.9	3.4	19.9	17.4	74.7
	Mean	87.9	53.4	52.9%	19.8	29.1%	21.7	65.1%	102.6	50.4%	56.4%	14.1	26.7	34.5%	65.5%	18.0	15.8	13.6	4.9	18.9	18.2	65.0	
Middle 4	5	TUR	83.3	54.6	49.5%	18.0	25.4%	25.3	61.6%	87.9	46.7%	53.3%	14.1	27.6	33.9%	66.1%	16.0	15.9	8.4	5.0	20.6	21.7	73.3
	6	AUS	73.1	47.9	48.4%	18.4	27.1%	17.0	69.7%	82.1	46.2%	52.2%	12.0	30.7	28.1%	71.9%	15.3	19.7	10.1	3.9	15.9	16.6	70.3
	7	CRO	67.1	41.6	41.2%	22.1	34.2%	14.9	68.3%	66.7	44.7%	50.1%	9.9	24.1	29.0%	71.0%	16.1	21.4	9.6	5.0	17.9	15.0	75.3
	8	MNE	69.7	37.0	46.7%	27.4	23.4%	21.9	72.5%	67.7	41.8%	50.3%	10.6	27.4	27.8%	72.2%	13.3	16.7	7.0	3.1	16.4	20.9	75.0
	Mean	73.3	45.3	46.7%	21.5	27.4%	19.8	67.6%	76.1	44.9%	51.6%	11.6	27.5	29.8%	70.2%	15.2	18.4	8.8	4.3	17.7	18.5	73.5	
Bottom 8	9	DOM	74.4	54.3	40.0%	23.3	23.9%	21.0	68.0%	70.7	38.8%	45.3%	13.1	24.9	34.6%	65.4%	13.7	17.9	14.6	4.9	16.7	17.6	86.4
	10	SRB	74.3	42.3	46.3%	21.9	31.4%	23.9	61.1%	84.9	46.5%	53.5%	10.3	31.3	24.7%	75.3%	20.0	18.9	8.1	6.7	20.1	20.4	72.1
	11	ARG	65.4	37.9	41.1%	29.4	25.7%	19.9	58.3%	58.7	40.0%	45.7%	9.4	26.4	26.3%	73.7%	14.1	19.0	11.0	3.7	26.6	21.7	73.1
	12	MLI	76.7	52.9	45.4%	24.1	20.7%	21.9	62.7%	79.1	40.9%	46.9%	18.3	29.0	38.7%	61.3%	15.7	20.3	10.4	5.4	17.4	19.3	81.4
	13	PHI	66.3	45.1	44.0%	22.4	21.0%	20.0	62.1%	61.3	39.9%	46.1%	8.9	29.0	23.4%	76.6%	14.1	17.0	6.4	4.1	16.6	19.0	83.3
	14	NZL	54.1	42.7	36.5%	23.3	22.1%	15.7	48.2%	40.4	35.3%	39.0%	12.9	25.3	33.7%	66.3%	10.9	19.1	7.3	2.6	16.6	17.9	76.7
	15	CHN	61.0	46.6	39.9%	16.1	25.7%	18.6	61.5%	47.0	39.5%	45.7%	10.7	19.4	35.5%	64.5%	11.9	20.3	9.7	1.7	17.4	16.1	81.7
	16	EGY	62.3	52.1	38.9%	18.4	22.5%	16.3	57.0%	60.1	37.6%	42.0%	14.9	27.4	35.1%	64.9%	12.4	17.9	10.1	4.0	20.1	15.7	70.4
	Mean	66.8	46.7	41.5%	22.4	24.1%	19.6	60.4%	62.8	39.8%	45.5%	12.3	26.6	31.6%	68.4%	14.1	18.8	9.7	4.1	18.9	18.5	78.2	



Team Comparison by Final Rank



Men's U17 FIBA World Cup - 2018



A comparison between the Top 4, Middle 4 and Bottom 8 teams showed clear differences in box score statistics. Overall we are seeing the Top 4 teams perform better in more instances. Specifically, the Top 4 teams scored significantly more points resulting in higher 2P%, 3P%, eFG% and TS%. Additionally, the Top 4 teams had Efficiency ratings nearly 35% better than the Middle 4 teams, and 63% better than the Bottom 8 Teams.



Player Performance



Men's U17 FIBA World Cup - 2018

Top 10 Players by Points per Game

Name	FIBA Code	Pos	Age	Height	Min.	Points	FGA	FG%	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	eFG%	EFF
Siriman Kanoute	MLI	PG	17.0	176.0	34.0	24.6	24.0	33.3%	10.3	43.1%	13.7	26.0%	40.8%	14.4
Oumar Ballo	MLI	C	16.0	208.0	33.4	20.6	15.0	55.2%	14.7	56.3%	0.3	0.0%	55.2%	28.4
David Garcia	DOM	F	16.0	194.0	30.4	19.7	18.7	39.7%	13.3	47.3%	5.4	21.1%	42.7%	18.9
Bojan Tomasevic	MNE	F	17.0	203.0	34.3	19.1	15.4	41.7%	7.9	52.7%	7.6	30.2%	49.1%	16.3
Mustafa Kurtuldum	TUR	SG	17.0	197.0	31.1	18.1	16.0	42.9%	9.7	47.1%	6.3	36.4%	50.0%	15.7
Addison Isiah Patterson	CAN	SG	17.0	201.0	21.3	17.3	13.7	50.0%	10.6	56.8%	3.1	27.3%	53.1%	14.3
Haoqin Sun	CHN	F	15.0	191.0	32.6	16.7	16.6	35.3%	11.1	39.7%	5.4	26.3%	39.7%	9.9
Malcolm Cazalon	FRA	SG	17.0	198.0	25.0	16.4	14.0	46.9%	10.1	56.3%	3.9	22.2%	50.0%	15.7
Kai Zachary Sotto	PHI	C	16.0	216.0	28.4	16.4	12.7	47.2%	12.4	48.3%	0.3	0.0%	47.2%	20.6
Killian Hayes	FRA	G	17.0	192.0	26.7	16.1	12.9	50.0%	9.4	59.1%	3.4	25.0%	53.3%	17.6
Mean			16.5	197.6	29.7	18.5	15.9	43.2%	11.0	50.6%	4.9	26.9%	47.4%	17.2

Top 10 Players by Effective Field Goal Percentage

Name	FIBA Code	Pos	Age	Height	Min.	Points	FGA	FG%	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	eFG%	EFF
Evan Elijah Mobley	USA	F	17.0	211.0	17.7	9.3	5.7	67.5%	5.7	67.5%	0.0		67.5%	16.9
Isaiah Dela Stewart II	USA	F	17.0	206.0	17.7	11.1	6.3	65.9%	6.3	65.9%	0.0		65.9%	18.0
Benjamin Krikke	CAN	PF	17.0	201.0	19.9	9.9	6.6	63.0%	5.7	70.0%	0.9	16.7%	64.1%	11.7
Jalen Romande Green	USA	SG	16.0	196.0	18.3	15.7	11.1	51.3%	4.9	73.5%	6.3	34.1%	60.9%	13.7
Cashius Jeshua McNeilly	CAN	SG	17.0	193.0	22.0	10.9	8.3	46.6%	3.7	42.3%	4.6	50.0%	60.3%	11.9
Vernon Carey Jr.	USA	F	17.0	206.0	14.6	11.0	8.1	59.6%	8.0	60.7%	0.1	0.0%	59.6%	15.3
Luke Jacob Travers	AUS	F	17.0		31.7	12.9	9.3	58.5%	7.7	68.5%	1.6	9.1%	59.2%	16.7
Alperen Şengün	TUR	PF	16.0	206.0	28.1	15.9	10.3	58.3%	9.1	64.1%	1.1	12.5%	59.0%	21.7
Aleksa Markovic	SRB	F	17.0	196.0	18.9	7.4	5.3	56.8%	4.6	65.6%	0.7	0.0%	56.8%	10.1
Timothé Crusol	FRA	G	17.0	193.0	22.0	9.1	7.4	48.1%	4.1	55.2%	3.3	39.1%	56.7%	9.3
Mean			16.8	200.9	21.1	11.3	7.8	56.8%	6.0	64.2%	1.9	33.1%	60.7%	14.5




Top 10 Players by Efficiency

Name	FIBA Code	Pos	Age	Height	Min.	Points	FGA	FG%	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	eFG%	EFF
Oumar Ballo	MLI	C	16.0	208.0	33.4	20.6	15.0	55.2%	14.7	56.3%	0.3	0.0%	55.2%	28.4
Luke Stephen Jackson	AUS	F	17.0		31.4	13.7	10.9	51.3%	10.3	51.4%	0.6	50.0%	52.6%	23.0
Alperen Şengün	TUR	PF	16.0	206.0	28.1	15.9	10.3	58.3%	9.1	64.1%	1.1	12.5%	59.0%	21.7
Kai Zachary Sotto	PHI	C	16.0	216.0	28.4	16.4	12.7	47.2%	12.4	48.3%	0.3	0.0%	47.2%	20.6
David Garcia	DOM	F	16.0	194.0	30.4	19.7	18.7	39.7%	13.3	47.3%	5.4	21.1%	42.7%	18.9
Romeo Jajuan Weems	USA	F	17.0	201.0	21.1	8.6	7.7	48.1%	7.0	51.0%	0.7	20.0%	49.1%	18.3
Isaiah Dela Stewart II	USA	F	17.0	206.0	17.7	11.1	6.3	65.9%	6.3	65.9%	0.0		65.9%	18.0
Killian Hayes	FRA	G	17.0	192.0	26.7	16.1	12.9	50.0%	9.4	59.1%	3.4	25.0%	53.3%	17.6
Jean Berroa	DOM	G	15.0	188.0	32.9	15.4	13.0	42.9%	9.7	44.1%	3.3	39.1%	47.8%	17.3
Andre Rodriguez	PUR	PG	17.0	185.0	26.6	13.6	12.4	43.7%	10.1	52.1%	2.3	6.3%	44.3%	17.0
Mean			16.4	199.6	27.7	15.1	12.0	48.9%	10.2	53.3%	1.7	23.0%	50.5%	20.1



Shooting Stats

Men's U17 FIBA World Cup - 2010, 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018



3-pt shooting appears to remain flat throughout the last 5 U17 FIBA World Cup tournaments. However, as 3-pt shooting has remained unchanged, overall 3-pt accuracy and shooting efficiency rates slightly decreased over the last 5 tournaments. Additionally, shooting efficiency rates in the U17 FIBA World Cup have been lower than those in the NBA Playoffs over the last 5 tournaments.



FIBA
We Are Basketball




WORLD ASSOCIATION OF
BASKETBALL
COACHES

Game Analysis

Men's U17 FIBA World Cup - 2010, 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018

Distribution of Winning Margins



There has been an increase in the percentage of unbalanced games from 2010 through 2016 and a slight decrease from 2016 to 2018. The percentage of balanced games has remained fairly consistent, while the percentage of close games has fluctuated over the last 5 seasons, and was most recently at the second lowest rate of the last 5 tournaments.



League Comparison Stats




		U17 Men FIBA World Cup					NBA Playoffs				
		2010	2012	2014	2016	2018	2010	2012	2014	2016	2018
Game Overview	Total Games	46	46	56	56	56	82	84	89	86	82
	Games per Team	7.7	7.7	7.0	7.0	7.0	10.3	10.5	11.1	10.8	10.3
Competitiveness	Points	77.1	75.1	72.8	74.4	73.7	81.3	77.5	83.2	83.3	87.2
	Final Score Margin	16.4	19.5	24.2	20.5	21.2	11.8	9.9	10.3	14.5	12.9
	Min Score Margin	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Max Score Margin	68	69	111	74	78	43	33	40	38	41
	Blowout Games	18	20	24	32	29	19	19	20	31	28
	% of Blowout Games	39.1%	43.5%	42.9%	57.1%	51.8%	23.2%	22.6%	22.5%	36.0%	34.1%
	EFF	77.6	76.7	70.9	72.8	76.1	89.8	86.1	92.1	92.1	98.4
Offensive Stats	OREB	11.9	12.6	12.9	13.6	12.6	8.9	9.0	8.6	8.8	7.7
	OREB %	32.7%	33.4%	33.1%	33.1%	31.9%	26.3%	25.7%	25.0%	24.5%	21.5%
	AS	14.9	14.1	10.8	14.2	15.3	16.3	15.4	16.6	16.7	18.3
	TO	17.8	17.4	16.5	18.4	17.9	10.4	10.7	10.7	10.5	10.5
	eFG%	47.7%	45.4%	44.1%	43.6%	43.8%	49.6%	47.7%	50.6%	49.6%	51.8%
	TS%	54.6%	51.6%	50.3%	49.8%	49.8%	58.2%	55.3%	58.3%	56.5%	58.5%
	FGA	65.5	68.6	68.0	69.6	69.5	64.91	65.76	66.88	69.39	70.39
	FGM	27.9	28.1	27.0	27.3	27.7	29.4	28.9	30.4	30.6	32.1
	FG%	42.6%	40.9%	39.8%	39.3%	39.8%	45.3%	43.9%	45.5%	44.1%	45.6%
	3PA	21.7	21.0	21.2	22.3	21.5	15.9	14.9	18.7	21.5	25.0
	3PM	6.7	6.1	5.9	6.0	5.6	5.5	5.0	6.8	7.7	8.8
	3P%	30.8%	28.9%	27.7%	27.1%	26.1%	34.9%	33.5%	36.3%	35.7%	35.2%
	2PA	43.8	47.6	46.8	47.3	48.0	49.0	50.9	48.1	47.8	45.3
	2PM	21.2	22.0	21.2	21.3	22.0	23.9	23.9	23.6	22.9	23.3
	2P%	48.5%	46.3%	45.2%	45.0%	45.9%	48.7%	47.0%	49.1%	47.8%	51.3%
	FTA	23.3	18.9	19.9	22.8	20.2	22.6	19.8	20.7	19.7	18.8
	FTM	14.7	12.8	12.9	13.7	12.8	17.1	14.9	15.8	14.8	14.4
FT%	63.0%	68.0%	64.8%	60.1%	63.4%	75.5%	75.3%	76.3%	74.9%	76.7%	
Defensive Stats	DREB	24.4	25.1	26.0	27.5	26.8	24.9	25.9	25.9	27.2	28.2
	DREB %	67.3%	66.6%	66.9%	66.9%	68.1%	73.7%	74.3%	75.0%	75.5%	78.5%
	ST	9.4	9.8	9.0	9.5	10.5	5.6	6.2	6.0	6.2	6.2
	BS	3.9	4.0	3.9	3.4	4.3	4.2	4.7	3.7	4.2	4.1
	PF	21.3	19.1	19.3	21.2	18.6	19.5	17.7	18.5	17.6	17.4

*NBA Stats adjusted to 40 minute game length to align with FIBA rules




Appendix

Men's U17 FIBA World Cup - 2018



Men's U17 FIBA World Cup - 2010, 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018






Appendix


Men's U17 FIBA World Cup - 2010, 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018

Guards Bigs Perimeters


Distribution of FGA by Position




Distribution of 3PA by Position




Distribution of Assists by Position




Distribution of Steals by Position



Distribution of Offensive Rebounds by Position



Distribution of Defensive Rebounds by Position














Appendix

Men's U17 FIBA World Cup - 2010, 2012, 2014, 2016, 2018

2010 2012 2014 2016 2018



FIBA U19 BASKETBALL WORLD CUPS

FIBA U19 WOMEN'S BASKETBALL WORLD CUPS (2011, 2013, 2015, 2017, 2019)



Team Box Score Stats



Women's U19 FIBA World Cup - 2019


		Points	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	FTA	FT%	EFF	eFG%	TS%	OREB	DREB	OREB %	DREB %	AS	TO	ST	BS	PF	FD	PR
Top 4	1 USA	80.57	57.57	46.4%	17.43	29.5%	19.43	60.3%	95.71	45.9%	50.8%	16.86	30.43	35.6%	64.4%	16.29	17.14	13.86	5.71	16.86	16.57	58.43
	2 AUS	67.71	52.71	34.4%	19.57	29.9%	22.00	63.0%	68.43	37.3%	43.9%	20.86	28.14	42.6%	57.4%	15.00	18.14	9.00	2.29	16.00	20.00	63.14
	3 ESP	62.57	43.86	45.0%	19.57	27.0%	12.57	58.0%	69.14	43.6%	47.3%	11.86	29.00	29.0%	71.0%	15.71	18.86	10.00	2.57	16.43	15.00	53.00
	4 BEL	66.29	35.71	50.4%	25.14	24.4%	17.71	66.9%	73.29	44.7%	51.2%	11.00	30.00	26.8%	73.2%	14.71	18.14	8.57	3.43	12.43	17.57	42.57
	Mean	69.29	47.46	43.5%	20.43	27.4%	17.93	62.4%	76.64	42.8%	48.2%	15.14	29.39	34.0%	66.0%	15.43	18.07	10.36	3.50	15.43	17.29	54.29
Middle 4	5 CHN	58.3	30.6	54.7%	21.1	29.7%	10.7	56.0%	66.9	50.6%	53.9%	8.3	23.4	26.1%	73.9%	18.1	13.6	4.4	1.3	10.1	11.3	53.7
	6 CAN	63.3	41.4	41.4%	21.0	25.9%	20.6	61.8%	58.9	40.5%	47.3%	12.9	26.6	32.6%	67.4%	11.6	17.9	8.0	2.1	14.0	17.3	62.1
	7 MLI	55.9	48.1	35.0%	24.0	19.0%	13.9	60.8%	48.9	32.9%	37.1%	17.9	23.4	43.3%	56.7%	9.9	13.9	9.6	2.3	15.9	15.1	60.3
	8 JPN	64.0	41.0	42.2%	23.6	27.9%	13.9	70.1%	59.1	42.0%	47.3%	8.0	22.9	25.9%	74.1%	12.6	12.9	8.3	1.1	18.7	14.7	66.0
	Mean	60.4	40.3	42.2%	22.4	25.5%	14.8	62.5%	58.4	40.8%	45.8%	11.8	24.1	32.8%	67.2%	13.0	14.5	7.6	1.7	14.7	14.6	60.5
Bottom 8	9 KOR	61.7	40.0	40.0%	26.4	23.2%	15.1	74.5%	57.4	38.0%	44.2%	9.3	16.9	35.5%	64.5%	16.9	15.4	15.4	0.9	18.7	16.9	69.1
	10 HUN	71.1	47.4	47.3%	16.9	28.0%	18.1	66.9%	72.7	45.9%	52.1%	8.7	28.1	23.6%	76.4%	16.9	17.3	6.3	2.0	20.7	18.3	73.1
	11 COL	53.1	33.3	34.3%	30.3	22.6%	16.7	58.1%	44.3	34.2%	39.5%	11.6	23.0	33.5%	66.5%	10.4	15.3	11.1	2.6	15.9	16.6	59.1
	12 ARG	53.3	36.9	41.1%	19.7	20.3%	17.1	64.2%	45.0	37.4%	44.2%	8.3	24.6	25.2%	74.8%	11.4	21.0	11.1	0.9	19.9	18.0	66.0
	13 GER	65.0	40.9	44.4%	23.3	25.2%	17.3	64.5%	64.1	42.0%	47.8%	13.1	28.6	31.5%	68.5%	14.9	21.0	8.0	1.9	17.9	18.0	63.4
	14 LAT	57.9	37.4	39.3%	23.3	25.8%	16.6	62.9%	55.0	39.1%	44.9%	9.6	23.6	28.9%	71.1%	14.6	16.7	8.6	3.7	18.6	16.3	57.3
	15 MOZ	53.4	50.6	32.5%	16.4	20.0%	20.0	53.6%	42.0	31.9%	37.4%	16.9	25.7	39.6%	60.4%	9.4	21.6	13.7	1.0	15.0	18.6	57.4
	16 THA	48.1	31.0	34.1%	26.9	25.0%	11.1	61.5%	29.7	35.7%	39.9%	5.7	23.1	19.8%	80.2%	9.3	23.0	8.6	2.7	16.3	14.0	77.4
	Mean	58.0	39.7	39.3%	22.9	23.8%	16.5	63.0%	51.3	38.0%	43.8%	10.4	24.2	30.0%	70.0%	13.0	18.9	10.4	1.9	17.9	17.1	65.4



Team Comparison by Final Rank



Women's U19 FIBA World Cup - 2019



A comparison between the Top 4, Middle 4 and Bottom 8 teams showed clear differences in box score statistics. Overall we are seeing the Top 4 teams perform better in more instances. Specifically, the Top 4 teams scored significantly more points resulting in higher 2P%, 3P%, eFG% and TS%. Additionally, the Top 4 teams had Efficiency ratings 31% better than the Middle 4 teams, and nearly 50% better than the Bottom 8 Teams.



Player Performance



Women's U19 FIBA World Cup - 2019

Top 10 Players by Points per Game

Name	FIBA Code	Pos	Age	Height	Min.	Points	FGA	FG%	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	eFG%	EFF
Jihyun Park	KOR	C	19.0	185.0	35.4	16.4	15.4	36.1%	9.4	45.5%	6.0	21.4%	40.3%	17.6
Florencia Chagas	ARG	SG	18.0	180.0	33.9	16.3	16.9	30.5%	8.7	36.1%	8.1	24.6%	36.4%	9.0
Sika Kone	MLI	PF	17.0	190.0	32.3	15.1	14.3	39.0%	11.4	41.3%	2.9	30.0%	42.0%	19.3
Laura Meldere	LAT	C	18.0	190.0	27.3	15.0	13.4	42.6%	10.4	47.9%	3.0	23.8%	45.2%	19.0
Chanaya Pinto	MOZ	F	19.0	185.0	29.0	14.9	16.3	32.5%	11.7	37.8%	4.6	18.8%	35.1%	13.6
Billie Kim Massey	BEL	C	19.0	188.0	29.4	14.7	10.1	52.1%	6.6	63.0%	3.6	32.0%	57.7%	25.9
Mingling Chen	CHN	C	19.0	192.0	29.9	14.2	10.2	60.7%	8.0	70.8%	2.2	23.1%	63.1%	17.3
Luisa Geiselsöder	GER	C	19.0	190.0	23.1	13.9	11.3	55.7%	11.3	55.7%	0.0		55.7%	14.3
Jiyuan Wan	CHN	C	17.0	185.0	26.1	13.8	9.8	57.6%	8.7	65.4%	1.2	0.0%	57.6%	16.3
Yuan Li	CHN	G	19.0	170.0	27.4	13.2	10.8	44.6%	6.0	44.4%	4.8	44.8%	54.6%	15.3
Mean			18.4	185.5	29.4	14.8	13.0	42.8%	9.3	49.4%	3.7	26.0%	46.5%	16.8

Top 10 Players by Effective Field Goal Percentage

Name	FIBA Code	Pos	Age	Height	Min.	Points	FGA	FG%	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	eFG%	EFF
Mingling Chen	CHN	C	19.0	192.0	29.9	14.2	10.2	60.7%	8.0	70.8%	2.2	23.1%	63.1%	17.3
Aliz Varga	HUN	SF	18.0	186.0	19.3	6.4	5.1	55.6%	4.3	56.7%	0.9	50.0%	59.7%	7.1
Queen Egbo	USA	PF	19.0	191.0	12.6	7.9	5.1	58.3%	5.1	58.3%	0.0		58.3%	11.0
Billie Kim Massey	BEL	C	19.0	188.0	29.4	14.7	10.1	52.1%	6.6	63.0%	3.6	32.0%	57.7%	25.9
Jiyuan Wan	CHN	C	17.0	185.0	26.1	13.8	9.8	57.6%	8.7	65.4%	1.2	0.0%	57.6%	16.3
Maxuella Mbaka	BEL	SF	18.0	178.0	27.1	12.6	8.6	55.0%	7.1	62.0%	1.4	20.0%	56.7%	13.7
Nanako Todo	JPN	SF	18.0	174.0	29.1	12.3	9.7	51.5%	7.0	57.1%	2.7	36.8%	56.6%	12.7
Luisa Geiselsöder	GER	C	19.0	190.0	23.1	13.9	11.3	55.7%	11.3	55.7%	0.0		55.7%	14.3
Brynn Ann Masikewich	CAN	F	18.0	187.0	16.4	7.1	5.7	47.5%	3.7	50.0%	2.0	42.9%	55.0%	9.4
Yuan Li	CHN	G	19.0	170.0	27.4	13.2	10.8	44.6%	6.0	44.4%	4.8	44.8%	54.6%	15.3
Mean			18.4	184.1	24.1	11.5	8.6	53.7%	6.7	59.1%	1.8	34.1%	57.4%	14.2




Top 10 Players by Efficiency

Name	FIBA Code	Pos	Age	Height	Min.	Points	FGA	FG%	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	eFG%	EFF
Billie Kim Massey	BEL	C	19.0	188.0	29.4	14.7	10.1	52.1%	6.6	63.0%	3.6	32.0%	57.7%	25.9
Sika Kone	MLI	PF	17.0	190.0	32.3	15.1	14.3	39.0%	11.4	41.3%	2.9	30.0%	42.0%	19.3
Laura Meldere	LAT	C	18.0	190.0	27.3	15.0	13.4	42.6%	10.4	47.9%	3.0	23.8%	45.2%	19.0
Jihyun Park	KOR	C	19.0	185.0	35.4	16.4	15.4	36.1%	9.4	45.5%	6.0	21.4%	40.3%	17.6
Mingling Chen	CHN	C	19.0	192.0	29.9	14.2	10.2	60.7%	8.0	70.8%	2.2	23.1%	63.1%	17.3
Jiyuan Wan	CHN	C	17.0	185.0	26.1	13.8	9.8	57.6%	8.7	65.4%	1.2	0.0%	57.6%	16.3
Yuan Li	CHN	G	19.0	170.0	27.4	13.2	10.8	44.6%	6.0	44.4%	4.8	44.8%	54.6%	15.3
Alexandra Fowler	AUS	F	18.0	182.0	30.9	9.0	8.6	40.0%	8.3	41.4%	0.3	0.0%	40.0%	14.6
Maria Mendes	ESP	C	19.0	189.0	23.4	11.9	9.9	47.8%	9.9	47.8%	0.0		47.8%	14.4
Paige Bueckers	USA	G	18.0	180.0	26.7	11.6	11.9	43.4%	9.4	45.5%	2.4	35.3%	47.0%	14.3
Mean			18.3	185.1	28.9	13.5	11.5	45.2%	8.9	50.2%	2.6	28.4%	48.4%	17.4



Shooting Stats

Women's U19 FIBA World Cup - 2011, 2013, 2015, 2017, 2019



3-pt shooting appears to be increasing over the last 5 U19 FIBA World Cup tournaments. However, as 3-pt shooting has increased, overall 3-pt accuracy and shooting efficiency rates slightly decreased over the last 5 tournaments. Additionally, shooting efficiency rates in the U19 FIBA World Cup have been lower than those in the WNBA Playoffs over the last 5 tournaments.



FIBA
We Are Basketball




WORLD ASSOCIATION OF
BASKETBALL
COACHES

Game Analysis

Women's U19 FIBA World Cup - 2011, 2013, 2015, 2017, 2019

Distribution of Winning Margins



There has been a rough decrease in the percentage of unbalanced games over the last 5 tournaments. The percentage of balanced games has remained fairly consistent, while the percentage of close games has fluctuated over the last 5 seasons, and was most recently at the highest rate of the last 5 tournaments.



League Comparison Stats




		U19 Women FIBA World Cup					WNBA Playoffs				
		2011	2013	2015	2017	2019	2011	2013	2015	2017	2019
Game Overview	Total Games	62	64	56	56	56	19	17	21	15	16
	Games per Team	8.2	8.2	7.0	7.0	7.0	4.8	4.3	5.3	3.8	4.0
Competitiveness	Points	63.9	67.0	64.7	66.3	61.4	78.4	71.5	74.5	79.2	86.3
	Final Score Margin	19.4	20.9	20.2	20.0	16.3	10.9	13.2	10.1	9.5	12.8
	Min Score Margin	2	1	3	2	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Max Score Margin	45	77	68	75	45	28	25	33	20	29
	Blowout Games	37	33	25	30	23	6	6	5	1	5
	% of Blowout Games	59.7%	51.6%	44.6%	53.6%	41.1%	31.6%	35.3%	23.8%	6.7%	31.3%
	EFF	61.4	67.3	64.1	71.5	59.4	84.9	75.4	82.5	87.3	98.9
Offensive Stats	OREB	11.1	11.7	13.4	12.6	11.9	10.4	9.7	9.5	8.7	8.0
	OREB %	31.6%	32.2%	34.2%	32.7%	31.9%	29.3%	28.5%	29.1%	25.7%	23.5%
	AS	10.8	14.6	13.7	18.8	13.6	15.8	14.8	15.8	17.2	21.0
	TO	16.1	17.9	17.9	15.5	17.6	13.2	13.1	11.9	12.1	11.3
	eFG%	42.4%	42.5%	40.0%	40.9%	40.0%	46.3%	44.1%	47.2%	48.6%	51.2%
	TS%	48.9%	48.8%	46.2%	46.8%	45.4%	54.2%	51.6%	54.5%	55.9%	57.4%
	FGA	61.5	64.7	65.9	67.0	63.9	67.87	65.15	64.67	66.83	71.66
	FGM	23.7	25.1	24.0	25.0	22.8	28.8	27.1	28.0	29.4	32.9
	FG%	38.5%	38.9%	36.3%	37.2%	35.6%	42.4%	41.7%	43.4%	44.0%	46.0%
	3PA	16.7	17.1	18.4	18.9	22.2	15.1	12.9	13.8	17.5	20.8
	3PM	4.8	4.6	4.8	4.8	5.6	5.3	3.1	5.0	6.0	7.6
	3P%	28.7%	27.1%	25.9%	25.7%	25.1%	35.3%	24.4%	36.0%	34.5%	36.4%
	2PA	44.8	47.5	47.5	48.1	41.8	52.8	52.3	50.9	49.4	50.9
	2PM	18.9	20.5	19.2	20.1	17.2	23.5	24.0	23.1	23.4	25.4
	2P%	42.2%	43.1%	40.4%	41.8%	41.2%	44.5%	45.9%	45.4%	47.4%	49.9%
	FTA	17.5	17.9	19.0	17.7	16.4	20.2	18.7	16.8	18.4	15.8
	FTM	11.7	12.0	12.0	11.6	10.3	15.6	14.1	13.4	14.3	12.9
FT%	66.8%	67.4%	63.4%	65.4%	62.7%	76.9%	75.3%	79.8%	77.7%	81.7%	
Defensive Stats	DREB	24.1	24.7	25.8	25.8	25.5	25.1	24.3	23.1	25.3	26.0
	DREB %	68.4%	67.8%	65.8%	67.3%	68.1%	70.7%	71.5%	70.9%	74.3%	76.5%
	ST	9.2	9.6	9.6	8.8	9.7	7.8	7.1	7.1	6.8	6.4
	BS	1.9	2.9	3.6	2.9	2.3	4.3	3.7	4.3	3.7	4.1
	PF	16.5	17.8	18.8	17.3	16.5	18.8	18.4	17.9	19.3	16.4




Appendix

Women's U19 FIBA World Cup - 2019



Women's U19 FIBA World Cup - 2011, 2013, 2015, 2017, 2019






Appendix


Women's U19 FIBA World Cup - 2011, 2013, 2015, 2017, 2019

Guards Bigs Perimeters


Distribution of FGA by Position




Distribution of 3PA by Position




Distribution of Assists by Position




Distribution of Steals by Position



Distribution of Offensive Rebounds by Position



Distribution of Defensive Rebounds by Position














Appendix

Women's U19 FIBA World Cup - 2011, 2013, 2015, 2017, 2019

2011 2013 2015 2017 2019



FIBA U19 BASKETBALL WORLD CUPS

FIBA U19 MEN'S BASKETBALL WORLD CUPS (2011, 2013, 2015, 2017, 2019)



Team Box Score Stats



Men's U19 FIBA World Cup - 2019


		Points	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	FTA	FT%	EFF	eFG%	TS%	OREB	DREB	OREB %	DREB %	AS	TO	ST	BS	PF	FD	PR
Top 4	1 USA	100.9	61.1	54.4%	21.0	27.2%	25.9	66.3%	130.4	51.0%	57.4%	17.3	28.4	37.8%	62.2%	28.6	14.1	15.9	5.4	17.1	19.7	72.1
	2 MLI	79.9	59.3	41.7%	22.6	27.8%	19.0	60.9%	89.9	41.7%	46.4%	17.1	30.0	36.4%	63.6%	18.0	17.1	12.7	7.6	17.7	17.1	76.1
	3 FRA	84.9	48.7	53.1%	22.3	28.2%	23.1	61.7%	98.9	49.7%	55.8%	16.7	24.3	40.8%	59.2%	19.3	14.6	12.7	3.3	18.4	20.3	68.4
	4 LTU	79.0	39.9	42.7%	27.4	35.4%	23.9	66.5%	79.4	46.9%	54.5%	11.1	23.4	32.2%	67.8%	19.7	16.0	8.7	2.0	21.4	21.6	80.7
	Mean	86.1	52.3	48.3%	23.3	30.0%	23.0	64.1%	99.6	47.3%	53.4%	15.6	26.5	37.0%	63.0%	21.4	15.5	12.5	4.6	18.7	19.7	74.4
Middle 4	5 RUS	85.0	39.7	55.0%	28.7	32.3%	22.6	59.5%	94.1	52.3%	57.9%	12.4	29.0	30.0%	70.0%	20.1	16.7	5.4	5.3	21.9	18.9	79.4
	6 PUR	79.9	53.7	48.4%	22.4	24.2%	19.9	58.3%	86.6	44.8%	49.6%	13.6	22.3	37.8%	62.2%	21.3	14.4	14.3	2.7	22.1	18.6	80.6
	7 SRB	89.6	54.0	52.9%	17.6	27.6%	23.9	74.9%	111.1	50.1%	58.3%	18.3	29.3	38.4%	61.6%	23.9	14.7	5.4	3.6	18.9	21.1	76.3
	8 CAN	81.7	47.3	44.4%	24.7	36.4%	22.1	57.4%	86.3	47.9%	53.1%	11.4	27.6	29.3%	70.7%	19.9	14.3	8.1	3.3	19.3	18.3	85.0
	Mean	84.0	48.7	50.0%	23.4	30.6%	22.1	62.8%	94.5	48.7%	54.6%	13.9	27.0	34.0%	66.0%	21.3	15.0	8.3	3.7	20.5	19.2	80.3
Bottom 8	9 AUS	80.0	46.6	48.2%	26.4	31.9%	14.4	68.3%	94.7	48.0%	52.5%	14.9	30.0	33.1%	66.9%	19.7	16.6	9.9	3.6	15.6	15.9	70.7
	10 GRE	71.4	35.4	41.5%	29.3	32.2%	20.7	66.2%	69.9	44.6%	51.6%	9.9	23.4	29.6%	70.4%	15.1	15.3	9.6	3.3	23.3	20.1	76.6
	11 ARG	73.9	37.3	43.7%	30.1	27.5%	24.9	66.1%	77.0	42.6%	50.7%	8.9	26.6	25.0%	75.0%	17.3	12.4	9.9	4.3	19.9	23.0	74.3
	12 LAT	72.6	33.4	43.6%	35.4	29.8%	15.9	73.9%	70.3	44.2%	50.2%	11.4	25.4	31.0%	69.0%	19.0	18.4	5.6	2.6	23.4	18.4	88.6
	13 NZL	74.0	40.4	42.4%	26.9	33.0%	18.0	73.0%	77.3	45.2%	51.9%	9.9	28.7	25.6%	74.4%	17.6	18.0	7.6	3.7	16.1	17.7	78.9
	14 PHI	68.7	37.7	45.1%	25.7	28.9%	17.6	70.7%	70.4	44.4%	51.1%	8.7	26.7	24.6%	75.4%	15.7	16.3	6.1	4.9	16.9	17.3	81.6
	15 SEN	73.0	50.4	46.7%	23.3	19.0%	21.4	58.7%	80.1	41.0%	46.5%	16.3	28.4	36.4%	63.6%	18.1	19.7	10.4	8.1	17.1	18.3	83.0
16 CHN	74.9	41.7	44.9%	21.1	35.8%	18.4	79.8%	72.3	47.8%	55.9%	7.9	20.1	28.1%	71.9%	19.3	16.6	5.9	1.1	19.1	17.7	96.9	
	Mean	73.6	40.4	44.7%	27.3	29.8%	18.9	69.1%	76.5	44.7%	51.2%	11.0	26.2	29.5%	70.5%	17.7	16.7	8.1	3.9	18.9	18.6	81.3



Team Comparison by Final Rank



Men's U19 FIBA World Cup - 2019



A comparison between the Top 4, Middle 4 and Bottom 8 teams showed clear differences in box score statistics. Overall we are seeing the Top 4 teams perform better in more instances. Specifically, the Top 4 teams scored significantly more points resulting in higher 2P%, 3P%, eFG% and TS%. Additionally, the Top 4 teams had Efficiency ratings 5% better than the Middle 4 teams, and nearly 30% better than the Bottom 8 Teams.



Player Performance



Men's U19 FIBA World Cup - 2019

Top 10 Players by Points per Game

Name	FIBA Code	Pos	Age	Height	Min.	Points	FGA	FG%	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	eFG%	EFF
Marko Pecarski	SRB	PF	19.0	208.0	25.0	22.1	14.9	50.0%	12.4	55.2%	2.4	23.5%	51.9%	21.9
Julian Lee Strawther	PUR	F	17.0	201.0	28.3	22.0	18.3	45.3%	11.7	57.3%	6.6	23.9%	49.6%	17.1
Joel Ayayi	FRA	SG	19.0	190.0	25.9	20.9	16.0	50.9%	10.7	61.3%	5.3	29.7%	55.8%	20.6
Haowen Guo	CHN	F	19.0	201.0	31.3	20.1	13.9	42.3%	8.1	45.6%	5.7	37.5%	50.0%	17.7
Biram Faye	SEN	C	19.0	207.0	30.1	19.4	14.3	52.0%	8.3	67.2%	6.0	31.0%	58.5%	25.0
Filip Petrushev	SRB	C	19.0	210.0	25.1	19.3	10.6	66.2%	10.4	67.1%	0.1	0.0%	66.2%	27.0
Anthony Lawson	CAN	G	19.0	198.0	28.6	16.7	13.4	41.5%	5.9	43.9%	7.6	39.6%	52.7%	14.3
Sean Dave Idefonso	PHI	PF	19.0	183.0	33.0	16.6	13.6	43.2%	8.0	51.8%	5.6	30.8%	49.5%	14.9
Arturs Kurucs	LAT	SG	19.0	191.0	27.3	15.6	12.0	39.3%	5.6	38.5%	6.4	40.0%	50.0%	14.0
Siriman Kanoute	MLI	PG	18.0	176.0	24.7	15.4	13.9	42.3%	8.3	48.3%	5.6	33.3%	49.0%	10.7
Mean			18.7	196.5	27.9	18.8	14.1	47.0%	8.9	55.1%	5.1	32.9%	53.0%	18.3

Top 10 Players by Effective Field Goal Percentage

Name	FIBA Code	Pos	Age	Height	Min.	Points	FGA	FG%	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	eFG%	EFF
Filip Petrushev	SRB	C	19.0	210.0	25.1	19.3	10.6	66.2%	10.4	67.1%	0.1	0.0%	66.2%	27.0
Dmitrii Kadoshnikov	RUS	PF	18.0	203.0	19.1	6.7	5.0	62.9%	4.1	72.4%	0.9	16.7%	64.3%	13.3
Kyle Finlayson Bowen	AUS	F	19.0	204.0	16.6	8.1	5.1	63.9%	4.9	67.6%	0.3	0.0%	63.9%	13.0
Aleksandr Petenev	RUS	SF	18.0	197.0	14.0	7.6	5.4	55.3%	3.7	61.5%	1.7	41.7%	61.8%	8.9
Jeremiah Robinson-Earl	USA	F	18.0	203.0	19.6	12.7	9.0	60.3%	7.7	68.5%	1.3	11.1%	61.1%	16.3
Marcis Steinbergs	LAT	PF	18.0	206.0	21.1	6.6	5.0	51.4%	3.0	57.1%	2.0	42.9%	60.0%	9.7
Zhuo Ji	CHN	C	18.0	207.0	21.6	9.0	6.1	51.2%	4.3	50.0%	1.9	53.8%	59.3%	9.9
Aleksandr Ershov	RUS	SG	19.0	198.0	23.4	14.4	10.6	51.4%	6.4	60.0%	4.1	37.9%	58.8%	13.4
Biram Faye	SEN	C	19.0	207.0	30.1	19.4	14.3	52.0%	8.3	67.2%	6.0	31.0%	58.5%	25.0
Sean MacDonald	AUS	G	19.0	187.0	21.1	11.4	9.0	47.6%	4.3	56.7%	4.7	39.4%	57.9%	10.3
Mean			18.5	202.2	21.2	11.5	8.0	55.8%	5.7	64.0%	2.3	35.4%	60.9%	14.7




Top 10 Players by Efficiency

Name	FIBA Code	Pos	Age	Height	Min.	Points	FGA	FG%	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	eFG%	EFF
Filip Petrushev	SRB	C	19.0	210.0	25.1	19.3	10.6	66.2%	10.4	67.1%	0.1	0.0%	66.2%	27.0
Biram Faye	SEN	C	19.0	207.0	30.1	19.4	14.3	52.0%	8.3	67.2%	6.0	31.0%	58.5%	25.0
Marko Pecarski	SRB	PF	19.0	208.0	25.0	22.1	14.9	50.0%	12.4	55.2%	2.4	23.5%	51.9%	21.9
Joel Ayayi	FRA	SG	19.0	190.0	25.9	20.9	16.0	50.9%	10.7	61.3%	5.3	29.7%	55.8%	20.6
Dalibor Ilic	SRB	SF	19.0	206.0	25.9	10.9	8.1	49.1%	7.0	57.1%	1.1	0.0%	49.1%	19.7
Oumar Ballo	MLI	C	17.0	208.0	22.0	12.6	9.0	52.4%	9.0	52.4%	0.0		52.4%	19.3
Jorge Torres Vizcarrondo	PUR	PF	19.0	196.0	31.7	11.4	8.7	57.4%	8.6	58.3%	0.1	0.0%	57.4%	17.9
Haowen Guo	CHN	F	19.0	201.0	31.3	20.1	13.9	42.3%	8.1	45.6%	5.7	37.5%	50.0%	17.7
Tyrese John Haliburton	USA	G	19.0	196.0	24.6	7.9	4.6	68.8%	2.0	85.7%	2.6	55.6%	84.4%	17.3
Maxwell Darling	NZL	PF	19.0	199.0	26.7	13.7	9.7	45.6%	7.4	53.8%	2.3	18.8%	47.8%	17.3
Mean			18.8	202.1	26.8	15.8	11.0	52.1%	8.4	58.5%	2.6	31.1%	55.7%	20.4



Shooting Stats

Men's U19 FIBA World Cup - 2011, 2013, 2015, 2017, 2019



3-pt shooting appears to be increasing over the last 5 U19 FIBA World Cup tournaments. However, as 3-pt shooting has increased, overall 3-pt accuracy and shooting efficiency rates slightly decreased, with signs of improvement in the last tournament. Additionally, shooting efficiency rates in the U19 FIBA World Cup have been lower than those in the NBA Playoffs over the last 5 tournaments.



FIBA
We Are Basketball




WORLD ASSOCIATION OF
BASKETBALL
COACHES

Game Analysis

Men's U19 FIBA World Cup - 2011, 2013, 2015, 2017, 2019

Distribution of Winning Margins



The percentage of unbalanced games has remained fairly consistent over the last 5 tournaments. However, the percentage of balanced games has fluctuated including an increase in recent tournaments, while the percentage of close games has decreased significantly in recent tournaments.



League Comparison Stats




		U19 Men FIBA World Cup					NBA Playoffs				
		2011	2013	2015	2017	2019	2011	2013	2015	2017	2019
Game Overview	Total Games	62	64	56	56	56	81	85	81	79	82
	Games per Team	8.1	8.2	7.0	7.0	7.0	10.1	10.6	10.1	9.9	10.3
Competitiveness	Points	77.4	72.7	73.2	71.5	79.3	78.6	79.6	84.0	88.6	90.0
	Final Score Margin	14.9	18.2	17.9	16.8	14.9	9.5	11.5	11.2	13.6	12.1
	Min Score Margin	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1
	Max Score Margin	55	68	57	60	63	36	37	54	44	36
	Blowout Games	25	27	22	27	22	14	22	15	26	28
	% of Blowout Games	40.3%	42.2%	39.3%	48.2%	39.3%	17.3%	25.9%	18.5%	32.9%	34.1%
	EFF	77.9	71.5	76.7	72.9	86.8	86.9	87.4	94.7	99.7	101.5
Offensive Stats	OREB	11.7	11.5	12.0	12.4	12.9	9.2	8.8	9.2	8.2	8.6
	OREB %	32.6%	31.7%	32.8%	31.9%	32.7%	27.1%	25.5%	24.5%	23.3%	22.8%
	AS	13.3	12.2	16.9	18.3	19.5	15.5	16.2	18.3	18.6	19.3
	TO	14.3	15.5	17.0	16.3	16.0	10.7	11.1	10.7	10.7	10.8
	eFG%	47.6%	45.8%	45.4%	43.0%	46.4%	48.0%	48.7%	48.8%	52.4%	50.9%
	TS%	54.3%	52.2%	52.2%	49.7%	52.7%	56.5%	56.2%	55.8%	59.6%	58.3%
	FGA	66.7	65.2	65.6	66.9	70.7	64.72	66.39	70.67	69.86	72.67
	FGM	28.6	26.7	26.7	25.7	29.0	28.5	29.4	30.8	32.2	32.2
	FG%	42.9%	41.0%	40.8%	38.5%	41.0%	44.0%	44.2%	43.6%	46.1%	44.3%
	3PA	20.2	21.0	21.1	22.8	25.3	15.0	17.3	21.4	24.4	27.6
	3PM	6.2	6.3	6.1	6.1	7.6	5.2	6.0	7.4	8.8	9.6
	3P%	30.9%	29.9%	28.9%	26.8%	30.0%	34.6%	34.6%	34.4%	36.1%	34.7%
	2PA	46.5	44.2	44.4	44.0	45.4	49.7	49.1	49.3	45.5	45.1
	2PM	22.4	20.5	20.6	19.6	21.4	23.3	23.4	23.5	23.4	22.6
	2P%	48.1%	46.3%	46.4%	44.5%	47.2%	46.8%	47.6%	47.6%	51.5%	50.2%
	FTA	20.5	20.1	20.8	23.0	20.7	21.7	20.2	20.9	20.0	20.7
	FTM	13.9	13.0	13.7	13.9	13.7	16.8	15.1	15.3	15.5	16.2
FT%	67.8%	64.5%	65.9%	60.6%	66.0%	77.3%	75.1%	72.9%	77.6%	78.3%	
Defensive Stats	DREB	24.2	24.8	24.6	26.5	26.5	24.9	25.9	28.5	26.8	29.2
	DREB %	67.4%	68.3%	67.2%	68.1%	67.3%	72.9%	74.5%	75.5%	76.7%	77.2%
	ST	7.1	8.1	9.6	8.1	9.3	6.0	5.9	6.4	6.4	6.0
	BS	3.3	3.4	3.3	2.6	4.0	4.6	4.1	4.5	4.0	4.1
	PF	20.1	20.6	20.6	21.1	19.3	18.0	18.7	18.3	17.4	18.6

*NBA Stats adjusted to 40 minute game length to align with FIBA rules




Appendix

Men's U19 FIBA World Cup - 2019



Men's U19 FIBA World Cup - 2011, 2013, 2015, 2017, 2019






Appendix


Men's U19 FIBA World Cup - 2011, 2013, 2015, 2017, 2019

Guards Bigs Perimeters


Distribution of FGA by Position




Distribution of 3PA by Position




Distribution of Assists by Position




Distribution of Steals by Position



Distribution of Offensive Rebounds by Position



Distribution of Defensive Rebounds by Position














Appendix

Men's U19 FIBA World Cup - 2011, 2013, 2015, 2017, 2019

2011 2013 2015 2017 2019



FIBA BASKETBALL WORLD CUPS

FIBA WOMEN'S BASKETBALL WORLD CUPS (2010, 2014, 2018)



Team Box Score Stats



Women's Senior FIBA World Cup - 2018


		Points	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	FTA	FT%	EFF	eFG%	TS%	OREB	DREB	OREB %	DREB %	AS	TO	ST	BS	PF	FD	PR
Top 4	1 USA	87.7	53.2	49.8%	17.2	35.9%	19.7	82.2%	110.3	50.8%	58.7%	10.0	32.2	23.7%	76.3%	23.7	14.5	8.3	4.2	15.3	20.2	67.3
	2 AUS	78.5	47.0	51.4%	18.0	31.5%	17.7	74.5%	98.5	50.3%	57.0%	10.5	31.2	25.2%	74.8%	21.5	15.2	6.3	5.3	18.2	19.8	59.3
	3 ESP	69.9	48.3	43.2%	16.4	33.9%	15.7	72.7%	81.9	45.1%	51.2%	10.3	26.4	28.0%	72.0%	19.1	14.1	9.0	3.9	19.1	17.6	61.3
	4 BEL	76.0	41.7	52.8%	23.2	31.7%	13.8	72.3%	94.5	50.9%	56.0%	7.7	28.3	21.3%	78.7%	23.8	12.8	7.3	3.5	17.0	17.0	66.8
	Mean	77.7	47.6	48.9%	18.6	33.1%	16.7	75.8%	95.7	49.2%	55.6%	9.6	29.4	24.7%	75.3%	21.9	14.2	7.8	4.2	17.5	18.6	63.6
Middle 4	5 FRA	76.0	41.7	52.1%	19.0	32.3%	21.7	65.1%	90.7	50.9%	58.0%	9.6	25.7	27.1%	72.9%	23.3	15.4	8.6	3.4	17.3	18.0	68.0
	6 CHN	71.3	45.7	46.3%	17.3	33.9%	16.4	69.6%	79.0	47.5%	53.5%	9.4	22.3	29.7%	70.3%	19.3	13.7	8.4	3.0	16.6	16.3	77.6
	7 CAN	71.8	45.3	43.8%	18.2	33.9%	19.2	71.3%	77.8	45.8%	53.0%	12.7	24.0	34.5%	65.5%	16.0	14.8	9.2	2.0	19.7	20.3	64.8
	8 NGR	64.0	56.9	34.4%	12.7	25.8%	22.9	65.6%	56.7	35.2%	42.9%	14.1	23.0	38.1%	61.9%	13.3	15.3	9.9	2.3	20.1	19.0	72.6
	Mean	70.7	47.5	43.4%	16.7	31.9%	20.1	67.5%	76.0	44.5%	51.5%	11.4	23.7	32.5%	67.5%	18.0	14.8	9.0	2.7	18.4	18.3	71.0
Bottom 8	9 JPN	74.5	35.5	47.9%	28.8	34.8%	12.8	82.4%	79.5	49.8%	55.6%	6.0	22.3	21.2%	78.8%	18.8	13.5	9.3	1.8	11.5	15.5	76.8
	10 TUR	64.0	37.5	44.0%	22.5	32.2%	16.0	57.8%	66.5	45.6%	50.4%	9.0	26.0	25.7%	74.3%	16.5	15.3	6.5	2.8	19.0	17.5	69.8
	11 GRE	58.8	40.3	42.2%	20.5	24.4%	15.5	62.9%	55.3	40.3%	45.8%	8.8	23.0	27.6%	72.4%	12.5	13.0	7.5	2.3	19.3	20.3	65.3
	12 SEN	62.8	47.3	41.3%	14.3	33.3%	12.8	74.5%	55.0	43.3%	48.8%	7.0	20.5	25.5%	74.5%	12.5	16.8	8.0	1.5	19.3	16.3	73.5
	13 LAT	68.7	44.3	38.3%	31.3	26.6%	12.3	78.4%	62.0	39.0%	43.8%	13.0	23.7	35.5%	64.5%	15.3	14.3	5.7	3.0	20.7	19.0	78.7
	14 KOR	56.3	32.3	38.1%	26.0	30.8%	11.3	67.6%	56.3	41.7%	46.3%	5.0	23.3	17.6%	82.4%	17.7	11.7	5.7	1.7	16.0	12.0	76.3
	15 ARG	50.0	48.3	27.6%	22.3	25.4%	11.0	57.6%	36.7	30.9%	34.2%	9.3	24.3	27.7%	72.3%	12.3	11.7	6.3	2.3	18.0	16.0	74.0
16 PUR	50.0	52.3	31.8%	14.7	15.9%	12.3	78.4%	37.7	30.1%	35.9%	8.7	24.0	26.5%	73.5%	7.3	15.7	10.7	3.3	20.0	12.3	77.7	
	Mean	61.3	41.9	39.0%	22.4	28.9%	13.2	69.4%	57.3	40.5%	45.6%	8.3	23.3	26.1%	73.9%	14.3	14.1	7.5	2.3	17.9	16.3	73.6



Team Comparison by Final Rank



Women's Senior FIBA World Cup - 2018



A comparison between the Top 4, Middle 4 and Bottom 8 teams showed clear differences in box score statistics. Overall we are seeing the Top 4 teams perform better in most instances. Specifically, the Top 4 teams scored significantly more points resulting in higher 2P%, 3P%, eFG% and TS%. Additionally, the Top 4 teams had Efficiency ratings 26% better than the Middle 4 teams, and nearly 65% better than the Bottom 8 Teams. Top 4 Teams also created significantly more Assist opportunities than the Middle 4 and the Bottom 8 Teams.



Player Performance



Women's Senior FIBA World Cup - 2018

Top 10 Players by Points per Game

Name	FIBA Code	Pos	Age	Height	Min.	Points	FGA	FG%	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	eFG%	EFF
Elizabeth Cambage	AUS	C	27.0	203.0	23.2	23.8	14.8	62.9%	14.3	64.0%	0.5	33.3%	63.5%	28.5
Anete Steinberga	LAT	C	28.0	190.0	31.3	19.3	19.3	39.7%	13.0	41.0%	6.3	36.8%	45.7%	19.7
Emma Meesseman	BEL	PF	25.0	192.0	29.3	18.5	14.7	52.3%	13.0	59.0%	1.7	0.0%	52.3%	24.3
Kia Nurse	CAN	G	22.0	182.0	30.8	18.2	14.2	40.0%	8.7	42.3%	5.5	36.4%	47.1%	12.3
Jennifer O'Neill	PUR	PG	28.0	165.0	32.3	16.3	17.3	32.7%	15.3	34.8%	2.0	16.7%	33.7%	10.0
Breanna Stewart	USA	PF	24.0	193.0	26.3	16.3	11.5	58.0%	8.7	61.5%	2.8	47.1%	63.8%	20.2
Kim Mestdagh	BEL	SG	28.0	179.0	27.3	16.2	11.5	50.7%	6.0	52.8%	5.5	48.5%	62.3%	16.0
Ji Su Park	KOR	C	19.0	192.0	32.0	15.7	11.3	44.1%	11.3	44.1%	0.0		44.1%	17.7
Yuki Miyazawa	JPN	SF	25.0	183.0	36.3	15.0	13.5	40.7%	4.0	50.0%	9.5	36.8%	53.7%	18.3
Evanthia Maltzi	GRE	SG	39.0	180.0	29.0	14.5	15.5	33.9%	6.3	40.0%	9.3	29.7%	42.7%	9.8
Mean			26.3	187.0	29.1	17.7	14.0	46.8%	9.9	51.5%	4.2	35.7%	52.1%	18.3

Top 10 Players by Effective Field Goal Percentage

Name	FIBA Code	Pos	Age	Height	Min.	Points	FGA	FG%	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	eFG%	EFF
Leeseul Kang	KOR	F	24.0	180.0	23.7	13.7	10.0	46.7%	1.7	40.0%	8.3	48.0%	66.7%	10.0
Breanna Stewart	USA	PF	24.0	193.0	26.3	16.3	11.5	58.0%	8.7	61.5%	2.8	47.1%	63.8%	20.2
Elizabeth Cambage	AUS	C	27.0	203.0	23.2	23.8	14.8	62.9%	14.3	64.0%	0.5	33.3%	63.5%	28.5
Kim Mestdagh	BEL	SG	28.0	179.0	27.3	16.2	11.5	50.7%	6.0	52.8%	5.5	48.5%	62.3%	16.0
Nako Motohashi	JPN	PG	25.0	165.0	22.0	11.3	8.8	54.3%	6.3	56.0%	2.5	50.0%	61.4%	13.8
Kuanitra Holingsworth	TUR	C	29.0	197.0	20.5	12.0	8.3	60.6%	8.3	60.6%	0.0		60.6%	14.3
Sandrine Gruda	FRA	C	31.0	193.0	24.6	12.6	9.4	57.6%	9.4	57.6%	0.0		57.6%	15.7
Evelyn Mawuli	JPN	SF	23.0	180.0	27.0	11.0	8.0	46.9%	4.3	52.9%	3.8	40.0%	56.3%	9.5
Ting Shao	CHN	SF	28.0	184.0	25.0	11.7	8.6	55.0%	7.4	61.5%	1.1	12.5%	55.8%	13.9
Alexia Charterreau	FRA	PF	20.0	190.0	14.9	7.9	6.3	50.0%	3.9	63.0%	2.4	29.4%	55.7%	7.4
Mean			26.1	187.5	23.4	13.7	9.8	55.4%	7.4	59.6%	2.4	42.2%	60.5%	15.3




Top 10 Players by Efficiency

Name	FIBA Code	Pos	Age	Height	Min.	Points	FGA	FG%	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	eFG%	EFF
Elizabeth Cambage	AUS	C	27.0	203.0	23.2	23.8	14.8	62.9%	14.3	64.0%	0.5	33.3%	63.5%	28.5
Emma Meesseman	BEL	PF	25.0	192.0	29.3	18.5	14.7	52.3%	13.0	59.0%	1.7	0.0%	52.3%	24.3
Breanna Stewart	USA	PF	24.0	193.0	26.3	16.3	11.5	58.0%	8.7	61.5%	2.8	47.1%	63.8%	20.2
Anete Steinberga	LAT	C	28.0	190.0	31.3	19.3	19.3	39.7%	13.0	41.0%	6.3	36.8%	45.7%	19.7
Yuki Miyazawa	JPN	SF	25.0	183.0	36.3	15.0	13.5	40.7%	4.0	50.0%	9.5	36.8%	53.7%	18.3
Ji Su Park	KOR	C	19.0	192.0	32.0	15.7	11.3	44.1%	11.3	44.1%	0.0		44.1%	17.7
Kristine Vitola	LAT	PF	27.0	196.0	24.0	11.3	8.0	45.8%	5.7	47.1%	2.3	42.9%	52.1%	17.0
Maki Takada	JPN	PF	29.0	185.0	38.3	14.3	11.3	46.7%	8.8	51.4%	2.5	30.0%	50.0%	16.3
Kim Mestdagh	BEL	SG	28.0	179.0	27.3	16.2	11.5	50.7%	6.0	52.8%	5.5	48.5%	62.3%	16.0
Sandrine Gruda	FRA	C	31.0	193.0	24.6	12.6	9.4	57.6%	9.4	57.6%	0.0		57.6%	15.7
Mean			26.6	190.8	28.5	16.5	12.4	51.5%	9.6	55.6%	2.9	38.0%	55.9%	19.7



Shooting Stats

Women's Senior FIBA World Cup - 2010, 2014, 2018



3-pt shooting appears to show signs of increasing from the 2014 Senior FIBA World Cup to the 2018 tournament. We should expect to see even higher rates in the next tournament. However, as 3-pt shooting increased, overall 3-pt accuracy and shooting efficiency rates slightly decreased over the last 3 tournaments. Additionally, shooting efficiency rates in the Senior FIBA World Cup have been lower than those in the WNBA Playoffs over the last 3 tournaments.



FIBA
We Are Basketball



WORLD ASSOCIATION OF
BASKETBALL
COACHES


Game Analysis

WOMEN'S BASKETBALL
WORLD CUP
TENERIFE SPAIN 2018



Women's Senior FIBA World Cup - 2010, 2014, 2018

Distribution of Winning Margins



There was a significant increase in the percentage of unbalanced games from the 2010 Senior FIBA World Cup through the 2014 tournament and a slight decrease from 2014 to 2018. We also saw the lowest number of close games in the most recent Senior FIBA World Cup than the last 3 tournaments.



League Comparison Stats




		Senior Women FIBA World Cup			WNBA Playoffs			
		2010	2014	2018	2010	2014	2018	2019
Game Overview	Total Games	62	40	40	16	18	17	16
	Games per Team	8.1	5.5	5.5	4.0	4.5	4.3	4.0
Competitiveness	Points	69.0	66.1	69.6	83.0	80.2	83.5	86.3
	Final Score Margin	16.3	19.2	16.0	9.3	9.7	10.3	12.8
	Min Score Margin	1	1	1	2	1	2	1
	Max Score Margin	62	75	50	24	29	32	29
	Blowout Games	24	19	18	2	4	5	5
	% of Blowout Games	38.7%	47.5%	45.0%	12.5%	22.2%	29.4%	31.3%
	EFF	67.3	68.5	75.6	89.2	89.4	94.1	98.9
Offensive Stats	OREB	10.6	10.1	9.8	9.8	9.1	9.0	8.0
	OREB %	31.8%	30.3%	27.8%	29.7%	27.5%	26.1%	23.5%
	AS	11.3	14.9	17.9	17.3	17.1	18.5	21.0
	TO	15.6	14.4	14.4	13.3	11.7	10.5	11.3
	eFG%	45.5%	44.4%	44.6%	49.3%	48.1%	50.3%	51.2%
	TS%	52.4%	50.8%	50.8%	57.8%	56.4%	56.6%	57.4%
	FGA	62.0	61.7	64.9	66.97	66.86	70.18	71.66
	FGM	25.5	24.9	25.9	29.9	29.9	31.7	32.9
	FG%	41.2%	40.3%	40.0%	44.7%	44.7%	45.2%	46.0%
	3PA	16.9	15.9	19.3	15.9	14.3	21.7	20.8
	3PM	5.4	5.1	6.0	6.2	4.6	7.2	7.6
	3P%	31.9%	31.7%	31.0%	38.8%	32.1%	33.2%	36.4%
	2PA	45.2	45.8	45.6	51.1	52.6	48.4	50.9
	2PM	20.2	19.8	20.0	23.8	25.3	24.5	25.4
	2P%	44.7%	43.3%	43.8%	46.5%	48.1%	50.6%	49.9%
	FTA	17.2	15.5	16.6	22.1	19.4	16.2	15.8
FTM	12.5	11.3	11.7	17.0	15.8	12.9	12.9	
FT%	72.8%	72.7%	70.6%	76.9%	81.4%	79.5%	81.7%	
Defensive Stats	DREB	22.8	23.2	25.4	23.3	23.9	25.5	26.0
	DREB %	68.2%	69.7%	72.2%	70.3%	72.5%	73.9%	76.5%
	ST	8.1	6.7	8.1	7.3	6.9	5.7	6.4
	BS	2.3	3.0	3.0	3.9	4.6	4.2	4.1
	PF	18.1	17.7	17.9	20.5	19.1	17.1	16.4




Appendix

Women's Senior FIBA World Cup - 2018



Women's Senior FIBA World Cup - 2010, 2014, 2018






Appendix


Women's Senior FIBA World Cup - 2010, 2014, 2018

Guards Bigs Perimeters


Distribution of FGA by Position




Distribution of 3PA by Position




Distribution of Assists by Position




Distribution of Steals by Position



Distribution of Offensive Rebounds by Position



Distribution of Defensive Rebounds by Position














Appendix

Women's Senior FIBA World Cup - 2010, 2014, 2018

2010 2014 2018



FIBA BASKETBALL WORLD CUPS

FIBA MEN'S BASKETBALL WORLD CUPS (2010, 2014, 2019)



Team Box Score Stats



Men's Senior FIBA World Cup - 2019


		Points	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	FTA	FT%	EFF	eFG%	TS%	OREB	DREB	OREB %	DREB %	AS	TO	ST	BS	PF	FD	PR	
Top 4	1	ESP	84.4	36.6	55.6%	28.8	31.7%	21.3	76.5%	102.0	52.1%	60.2%	9.1	26.8	25.4%	74.6%	22.8	12.4	9.0	3.3	19.4	20.6	70.0
	2	ARG	86.0	38.9	50.8%	26.5	35.4%	23.5	78.2%	98.5	51.7%	61.0%	7.8	25.3	23.5%	76.5%	19.6	11.8	10.0	3.0	20.8	22.4	73.9
	3	FRA	83.6	39.0	53.2%	21.5	40.7%	21.3	74.7%	95.6	56.0%	64.2%	7.1	24.1	22.8%	77.2%	17.6	10.8	6.0	4.3	20.5	21.9	73.4
	4	AUS	85.9	38.3	56.9%	27.1	35.9%	16.5	79.5%	99.8	55.6%	62.2%	9.4	27.0	25.8%	74.2%	22.8	15.1	4.9	2.3	18.0	19.1	81.0
	Mean		85.0	38.2	54.1%	26.0	35.6%	20.6	77.1%	99.0	53.8%	61.8%	8.3	25.8	24.5%	75.5%	20.7	12.5	7.5	3.2	19.7	21.0	74.6
Middle 4	5	SRB	94.1	35.8	62.6%	25.0	40.5%	23.8	80.0%	118.5	61.8%	71.3%	9.0	26.8	25.2%	74.8%	25.4	13.8	7.1	2.9	20.0	22.4	67.4
	6	CZE	82.8	43.6	50.4%	21.6	42.8%	14.9	73.9%	95.6	55.0%	60.4%	8.8	25.5	25.5%	74.5%	21.3	12.3	5.0	2.5	17.4	17.6	81.4
	7	USA	86.5	40.9	52.3%	29.8	34.9%	17.1	73.7%	103.6	52.3%	58.1%	10.3	28.6	26.4%	73.6%	20.6	10.5	7.5	4.0	18.4	17.3	73.4
	8	POL	77.4	37.0	52.0%	24.1	31.6%	20.9	76.6%	84.3	50.2%	58.9%	8.8	23.6	27.0%	73.0%	17.1	12.6	6.3	2.9	17.9	20.3	71.0
	Mean		85.2	39.3	54.1%	25.1	37.2%	19.2	76.5%	100.5	54.7%	62.0%	9.2	26.1	26.0%	74.0%	21.1	12.3	6.5	3.1	18.4	19.4	73.3
Bottom 8	9	LTU	84.8	47.0	56.2%	16.8	27.4%	22.4	81.3%	101.2	52.2%	61.7%	10.2	25.4	28.7%	71.3%	18.6	10.2	6.4	3.0	20.2	22.2	67.2
	10	ITA	86.2	36.8	53.3%	26.4	37.1%	24.8	71.0%	96.4	54.3%	62.8%	7.6	25.8	22.8%	77.2%	18.2	12.6	8.4	3.8	19.0	23.6	62.0
	11	GRE	80.6	38.2	52.9%	25.0	33.6%	20.6	72.8%	95.6	51.9%	59.5%	6.8	27.0	20.1%	79.9%	20.4	10.4	8.2	3.2	20.0	21.8	76.4
	12	RUS	74.6	35.4	50.8%	24.2	31.4%	21.6	73.1%	82.6	49.3%	58.0%	8.2	24.8	24.8%	75.2%	18.6	13.6	7.4	2.4	24.2	22.4	71.6
	13	BRA	81.8	45.8	48.0%	22.6	34.5%	17.4	82.8%	90.4	49.3%	56.6%	7.2	25.0	22.4%	77.6%	19.2	10.8	7.6	2.0	18.8	18.6	85.4
	14	VEN	71.0	41.6	46.2%	25.2	31.0%	13.2	69.7%	75.8	46.3%	50.9%	13.8	21.2	39.4%	60.6%	15.8	11.0	7.4	1.4	23.4	16.6	61.4
	15	PUR	69.8	49.4	42.5%	20.8	24.0%	15.6	82.1%	68.2	40.6%	47.4%	10.4	24.0	30.2%	69.8%	14.6	13.6	7.4	2.6	21.6	17.6	80.4
	16	DOM	67.4	40.8	44.6%	22.0	30.0%	15.8	70.9%	69.6	44.7%	50.8%	9.8	21.4	31.4%	68.6%	16.2	13.4	8.4	2.4	20.2	17.8	78.0
Mean		77.0	41.9	49.1%	22.9	31.5%	18.9	75.4%	85.0	48.5%	55.9%	9.3	24.3	27.6%	72.4%	17.7	12.0	7.7	2.6	20.9	20.1	72.8	



Team Comparison by Final Rank



Men's Senior FIBA World Cup - 2019



A comparison between the Top 4, Middle 4 and Bottom 8 teams did not show clear differences in box score statistics. Overall we do not see a clear indication that the Top 4 teams performed significantly better than the Middle 4 teams, however there is a clear indication between the Top 4 teams and the Bottom 8 teams. Specifically, the Top 4 teams scored significantly more points than the Bottom 8 team resulting in higher 2P%, 3P%, eFG% and TS%. Additionally, the Top 4 teams had Efficiency ratings 16% better than the Bottom 8 teams, but nearly 2% worse than the Middle 4 Teams.



Player Performance



Men's Senior FIBA World Cup - 2019

Top 10 Players by Points per Game

Name	FIBA Code	Pos	Age	Height	Min.	Points	FGA	FG%	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	eFG%	EFF
Jianlian Yi	CHN	C	31.0	212.0	5.0	27.0	14.0	71.4%	10.0	80.0%	4.0	50.0%	78.6%	26.0
Guna Ra	KOR	C	30.0	199.0	36.0	23.0	18.2	49.5%	16.8	50.0%	1.4	42.9%	51.1%	26.4
Bogdan Bogdanovic	SRB	SG	27.0	197.0	27.6	22.9	13.5	55.6%	5.3	59.5%	8.3	53.0%	71.8%	24.6
Corey Webster	NZL	SG	30.0	189.0	29.4	22.8	14.4	54.2%	5.6	53.6%	8.8	54.5%	70.8%	25.6
Patty Mills	AUS	G	31.0	183.0	33.6	22.8	15.4	49.6%	8.3	57.6%	7.1	40.4%	58.9%	18.0
Darquavis Lamar Tucker	JOR	SG	31.0	190.0	32.6	21.0	15.6	41.0%	10.0	50.0%	5.6	25.0%	45.5%	15.2
Cedi Osman	TUR	SF	24.0	200.0	32.4	20.4	15.2	50.0%	8.4	66.7%	6.8	29.4%	56.6%	18.4
Evan M. Fournier	FRA	SG	26.0	199.0	27.8	19.8	17.3	42.0%	12.4	42.4%	4.9	41.0%	47.8%	14.4
Dennis Schröder	GER	PG	26.0	188.0	32.4	19.6	14.0	41.4%	9.8	38.8%	4.2	47.6%	48.6%	22.2
Shuo Fang	CHN	G	29.0	190.0	7.2	19.0	11.0	63.6%	4.0	75.0%	7.0	57.1%	81.8%	23.0
Mean			28.4	194.4	26.9	21.6	15.3	48.5%	9.3	51.7%	6.0	43.6%	57.1%	20.5

Top 10 Players by Effective Field Goal Percentage

Name	FIBA Code	Pos	Age	Height	Min.	Points	FGA	FG%	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	eFG%	EFF
Shuo Fang	CHN	G	29.0	190.0	7.2	19.0	11.0	63.6%	4.0	75.0%	7.0	57.1%	81.8%	23.0
Johannes Voigtman	GER	C	27.0	209.0	19.8	11.4	6.6	63.6%	3.0	73.3%	3.6	55.6%	78.8%	16.0
Jianlian Yi	CHN	C	31.0	212.0	5.0	27.0	14.0	71.4%	10.0	80.0%	4.0	50.0%	78.6%	26.0
Nikola Jokic	SRB	PF	24.0	209.0	22.0	11.5	6.3	68.0%	5.0	75.0%	1.3	40.0%	72.0%	19.0
Bogdan Bogdanovic	SRB	SG	27.0	197.0	27.6	22.9	13.5	55.6%	5.3	59.5%	8.3	53.0%	71.8%	24.6
Corey Webster	NZL	SG	30.0	189.0	29.4	22.8	14.4	54.2%	5.6	53.6%	8.8	54.5%	70.8%	25.6
Luigi Datome	ITA	PF	31.0	202.0	17.8	8.4	5.4	59.3%	3.0	66.7%	2.4	50.0%	70.4%	10.0
Aaron Geramipoor	IRI	C	27.0	214.0	16.4	10.4	6.6	69.7%	6.6	69.7%	0.0		69.7%	13.6
Melih Mahmutoglu	TUR	SG	29.0	191.0	30.6	18.6	12.8	57.8%	6.6	66.7%	6.2	48.4%	69.5%	15.6
Lukas Lekavicius	LTU	G	25.0	184.0	17.2	13.0	7.4	64.9%	5.6	75.0%	1.8	33.3%	68.9%	13.4
Mean			27.7	200.1	19.9	15.5	9.4	60.4%	5.2	67.7%	4.2	51.2%	71.8%	18.1




Top 10 Players by Efficiency

Name	FIBA Code	Pos	Age	Height	Min.	Points	FGA	FG%	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	eFG%	EFF
Guna Ra	KOR	C	30.0	199.0	36.0	23.0	18.2	49.5%	16.8	50.0%	1.4	42.9%	51.1%	26.4
Jianlian Yi	CHN	C	31.0	212.0	5.0	27.0	14.0	71.4%	10.0	80.0%	4.0	50.0%	78.6%	26.0
Corey Webster	NZL	SG	30.0	189.0	29.4	22.8	14.4	54.2%	5.6	53.6%	8.8	54.5%	70.8%	25.6
Salah Mejri	TUN	C	33.0	217.0	30.6	16.2	11.4	54.4%	7.6	63.2%	3.8	36.8%	60.5%	25.2
Bogdan Bogdanovic	SRB	SG	27.0	197.0	27.6	22.9	13.5	55.6%	5.3	59.5%	8.3	53.0%	71.8%	24.6
Shuo Fang	CHN	G	29.0	190.0	7.2	19.0	11.0	63.6%	4.0	75.0%	7.0	57.1%	81.8%	23.0
Dennis Schröder	GER	PG	26.0	188.0	32.4	19.6	14.0	41.4%	9.8	38.8%	4.2	47.6%	48.6%	22.2
Tomás Satoránský	CZE	PG	27.0	201.0	32.6	15.5	11.5	45.7%	8.1	44.6%	3.4	48.1%	52.7%	21.5
Jonas Valanciunas	LTU	C	27.0	211.0	22.2	14.0	8.4	64.3%	8.2	65.9%	0.2	0.0%	64.3%	21.2
Danilo Gallinari	ITA	PF	31.0	204.0	29.4	17.2	9.8	53.1%	5.0	56.0%	4.8	50.0%	65.3%	20.2
Mean			28.9	200.6	25.8	19.1	12.6	52.1%	8.0	53.4%	4.6	50.0%	61.2%	23.4



Shooting Stats

Men's Senior FIBA World Cup - 2010, 2014, 2019



3-pt shooting appears to show signs of increasing from the 2014 Senior FIBA World Cup to the 2019 tournament. We should expect to see even higher rates in the next tournament. However, as 3-pt shooting increased, overall 3-pt accuracy slightly decreased over the last 3 tournaments. Additionally, shooting efficiency rates in the Senior FIBA World Cup have been closely aligned with those in the NBA Playoffs over the last 3 tournaments.



FIBA
We Are Basketball




WORLD ASSOCIATION OF
BASKETBALL
COACHES

Game Analysis

Men's Senior FIBA World Cup - 2010, 2014, 2019

Distribution of Winning Margins



There was a slight decrease in the percentage of unbalanced games from the 2010 Senior FIBA World Cup through the 2019 tournament. We also saw the highest number of close games in the most recent Senior FIBA World Cup than the last 3 tournaments.



League Comparison Stats




		Senior Men FIBA World Cup			NBA Playoffs			
		2010	2014	2019	2010	2014	2018	2019
Game Overview	Total Games	80	76	92	82	89	82	82
	Games per Team	7.1	6.6	6.0	10.3	11.1	10.3	10.3
Competitiveness	Points	77.1	76.7	77.3	81.3	83.2	87.2	90.0
	Final Score Margin	15.4	16.3	15.6	11.8	10.3	12.9	12.1
	Min Score Margin	1	1	1	1	1	1	1
	Max Score Margin	55	63	59	43	40	41	36
	Blowout Games	32	32	31	19	20	28	28
	% of Blowout Games	40.0%	42.1%	33.7%	23.2%	22.5%	34.1%	34.1%
	EFF	80.7	79.5	86.1	89.8	92.1	98.4	101.5
Offensive Stats	OREB	9.2	8.8	8.8	8.9	8.6	7.7	8.6
	OREB %	28.8%	27.9%	27.0%	26.3%	25.0%	21.5%	22.8%
	AS	14.2	14.7	18.2	16.3	16.6	18.3	19.3
	TO	12.8	13.7	12.4	10.4	10.7	10.5	10.8
	eFG%	51.5%	51.2%	50.9%	49.6%	50.6%	51.8%	50.9%
	TS%	59.1%	57.9%	58.0%	58.2%	58.3%	58.5%	58.3%
	FGA	60.8	62.1	62.7	64.91	66.88	70.39	72.67
	FGM	27.2	28.0	27.8	29.4	30.4	32.1	32.2
	FG%	44.8%	45.1%	44.4%	45.3%	45.5%	45.6%	44.3%
	3PA	23.3	21.8	23.8	15.9	18.7	25.0	27.6
	3PM	8.3	7.5	8.2	5.5	6.8	8.8	9.6
	3P%	35.4%	34.6%	34.3%	34.9%	36.3%	35.2%	34.7%
	2PA	37.5	40.3	38.9	49.0	48.1	45.3	45.1
	2PM	19.0	20.5	19.7	23.9	23.6	23.3	22.6
	2P%	50.6%	50.8%	50.6%	48.7%	49.1%	51.3%	50.2%
	FTA	20.3	18.4	17.9	22.6	20.7	18.8	20.7
FTM	14.4	13.1	13.5	17.1	15.8	14.4	16.2	
FT%	71.0%	71.5%	75.3%	75.5%	76.3%	76.7%	78.3%	
Defensive Stats	DREB	22.8	22.8	23.7	24.9	25.9	28.2	29.2
	DREB %	71.2%	72.1%	73.0%	73.7%	75.0%	78.5%	77.2%
	ST	7.0	6.8	7.0	5.6	6.0	6.2	6.0
	BS	2.7	2.8	2.8	4.2	3.7	4.1	4.1
	PF	20.2	20.7	18.8	19.5	18.5	17.4	18.6

*NBA Stats adjusted to 40 minute game length to align with FIBA rules




Appendix

Men's Senior FIBA World Cup - 2019



Men's Senior FIBA World Cup - 2010, 2014, 2019






Appendix


Men's Senior FIBA World Cup - 2010, 2014, 2019

Guards Bigs Perimeters


Distribution of FGA by Position




Distribution of 3PA by Position




Distribution of Assists by Position




Distribution of Steals by Position



Distribution of Offensive Rebounds by Position



Distribution of Defensive Rebounds by Position














Appendix

Men's Senior FIBA World Cup - 2010, 2014, 2019

2010 2014 2019





OLYMPIC GAMES – BASKETBALL TOURNAMENT

OLYMPIC GAMES: TOURNAMENT FOR WOMEN (2008, 2012, 2016)



Team Box Score Stats



Women's Olympic Games - 2016


		Points	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	FTA	FT%	EFF	eFG%	TS%	OREB	DREB	OREB %	DREB %	AS	TO	ST	BS	PF	FD	PR	
Top 4	1	USA	102.1	54.3	61.3%	16.0	45.3%	17.9	77.6%	137.3	62.8%	68.8%	12.0	30.6	28.2%	71.8%	27.9	15.1	9.9	3.6	15.4	17.9	64.9
	2	ESP	73.9	53.8	44.9%	12.4	32.3%	17.5	77.9%	82.0	45.6%	52.8%	10.3	26.1	28.2%	71.8%	18.5	14.4	5.9	3.6	20.1	20.3	68.8
	3	SRB	72.8	48.3	43.0%	17.5	33.6%	17.4	78.4%	78.5	45.0%	52.3%	9.1	19.0	32.4%	67.6%	18.0	11.9	11.4	3.0	21.3	18.6	76.0
	4	FRA	67.8	46.1	43.9%	15.1	34.7%	16.9	68.1%	77.5	45.9%	52.1%	12.3	23.8	34.0%	66.0%	18.5	16.1	9.4	3.1	20.3	16.8	70.3
		Mean	79.1	50.6	48.6%	15.3	36.7%	17.4	75.6%	93.8	50.1%	56.8%	10.9	24.9	30.5%	69.5%	20.7	14.4	9.1	3.3	19.3	18.4	70.0
Middle 4	5	AUS	78.5	42.8	50.2%	18.8	37.2%	18.2	79.8%	93.5	51.9%	59.8%	9.5	25.2	27.4%	72.6%	22.7	15.7	6.7	3.5	19.0	19.3	69.7
	6	TUR	64.3	44.5	40.8%	14.0	34.5%	19.0	71.1%	71.3	43.4%	51.3%	6.2	24.7	20.0%	80.0%	16.2	9.8	7.7	3.2	15.2	19.0	64.8
	7	CAN	67.2	46.2	41.2%	15.5	33.3%	19.5	70.1%	69.2	43.4%	50.9%	11.3	21.2	34.9%	65.1%	18.3	16.0	8.2	2.3	21.2	20.5	69.2
	8	JPN	75.0	48.5	45.4%	18.7	38.4%	11.0	86.4%	77.8	48.8%	53.9%	5.5	19.5	22.0%	78.0%	18.5	9.8	7.5	1.2	16.2	15.8	81.3
		Mean	71.3	45.5	44.3%	16.8	36.1%	16.9	75.6%	78.0	47.0%	54.0%	8.1	22.6	26.4%	73.6%	18.9	12.8	7.5	2.5	17.9	18.7	71.3
Bottom 4	9	BLR	69.4	37.8	47.1%	17.6	38.6%	16.2	82.7%	77.2	50.5%	58.8%	5.6	26.2	17.6%	82.4%	18.0	16.6	4.6	3.6	19.0	18.2	72.2
	10	CHN	74.2	45.0	44.9%	18.8	39.4%	17.6	65.9%	69.2	49.1%	54.8%	8.0	19.4	29.2%	70.8%	17.8	14.8	4.6	2.2	14.8	19.4	85.6
	11	BRA	67.0	48.2	45.2%	14.6	24.7%	17.6	71.6%	70.0	43.3%	50.2%	11.6	25.6	31.2%	68.8%	17.6	19.0	8.0	1.6	19.2	19.6	76.8
	12	SEN	61.8	38.4	41.7%	18.4	33.7%	14.0	80.0%	53.4	44.5%	51.6%	3.8	19.8	16.1%	83.9%	15.8	20.0	6.6	3.0	19.2	16.4	96.4
		Mean	68.1	42.4	44.7%	17.4	34.6%	16.4	74.6%	67.5	46.8%	53.8%	7.3	22.8	24.2%	75.8%	17.3	17.6	6.0	2.6	18.1	18.4	82.8



Team Comparison by Final Rank



Women's Olympic Games - 2016



A comparison between the Top 4, Middle 4 and Bottom 4 teams showed clear differences in box score statistics. Overall we are seeing the Top 4 teams perform better in most instances. Specifically, the Top 4 teams scored significantly more points resulting in higher 2P%, 3P%, eFG% and TS%. Additionally, the Top 4 teams had Efficiency ratings 20% better than the Middle 4 teams, and nearly 40% better than the Bottom 4 Teams. Top 4 Teams also created significantly more Assist and Offensive Rebound opportunities than the Middle 4 and the Bottom 4 Teams.



Player Performance



Women's Olympic Games - 2016

Top 10 Players by Points per Game

Name	FIBA Code	Pos	Age	Height	Min.	Points	FGA	FG%	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	eFG%	EFF
Elizabeth Cambage	AUS	C	25.0	203.0	23.3	23.5	15.8	57.9%	15.5	59.1%	0.3	0.0%	57.9%	25.0
Lara Sanders	TUR	C	30.0	191.0	36.0	22.0	13.5	54.3%	13.5	54.3%	0.0		54.3%	25.8
Ramu Tokashiki	JPN	PF	25.0	193.0	35.8	17.0	14.5	49.4%	14.5	49.4%	0.0		49.4%	18.7
Damiris Do Amaral	BRA	SF	23.0	192.0	38.8	16.8	14.6	46.6%	10.8	50.0%	3.8	36.8%	51.4%	18.2
Alba Torrens Salom	ESP	F	27.0	191.0	29.6	16.4	13.4	46.7%	10.6	51.8%	2.8	27.3%	49.5%	15.4
Nevriye Yilmaz	TUR	C	36.0	193.0	38.0	16.3	14.8	42.7%	10.7	42.2%	4.2	44.0%	48.9%	11.2
Iziane Marques	BRA	SG	34.0	181.0	36.4	15.8	15.0	38.7%	11.6	44.8%	3.4	17.6%	40.7%	9.4
Diana Taurasi	USA	G	34.0	182.0	23.9	15.6	9.0	56.9%	1.9	53.3%	7.1	57.9%	79.9%	16.1
Jelena Brooks	SRB	PF	27.0	190.0	27.9	15.5	13.1	40.0%	10.1	39.5%	3.0	41.7%	44.8%	11.5
Astou Traore	SEN	PF	35.0	182.0	28.0	15.4	8.8	50.0%	6.6	54.5%	2.2	36.4%	54.5%	15.6
Mean			29.5	189.8	31.2	17.3	13.1	48.1%	10.3	49.8%	2.8	41.8%	52.5%	16.6

Top 10 Players by Effective Field Goal Percentage

Name	FIBA Code	Pos	Age	Height	Min.	Points	FGA	FG%	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	eFG%	EFF
Diana Taurasi	USA	G	34.0	182.0	23.9	15.6	9.0	56.9%	1.9	53.3%	7.1	57.9%	79.9%	16.1
Brittney Griner	USA	C	26.0	203.0	15.5	9.8	6.5	69.2%	6.4	70.6%	0.1	0.0%	69.2%	13.3
Sylvia Fowles	USA	C	31.0	198.0	13.5	9.1	5.3	69.0%	5.3	69.0%	0.0		69.0%	11.1
Tatsiana Likhtarovich	BLR	SG	28.0	180.0	37.8	11.8	7.0	54.3%	3.4	58.8%	3.6	50.0%	67.1%	15.0
Mika Fujitaka	JPN	SF	27.0	177.0	33.3	12.7	9.5	45.6%	1.7	20.0%	7.8	51.1%	66.7%	14.2
Leilani Mitchell	AUS	PG	31.0	165.0	27.7	11.3	7.8	46.8%	3.3	35.0%	4.5	55.6%	62.8%	12.8
Angel McCoughtry	USA	SF	30.0	185.0	15.9	9.4	6.4	60.8%	5.5	65.9%	0.9	28.6%	62.7%	12.0
Danielle Page	SRB	PF	29.0	188.0	29.6	10.6	8.6	55.1%	6.8	61.1%	1.9	33.3%	58.7%	14.6
Yelena Leuchanka	BLR	C	33.0	196.0	29.6	13.2	9.6	58.3%	9.6	58.3%	0.0		58.3%	15.4
Elizabeth Cambage	AUS	C	25.0	203.0	23.3	23.5	15.8	57.9%	15.5	59.1%	0.3	0.0%	57.9%	25.0
Mean			29.5	188.2	24.0	12.4	8.4	57.2%	5.8	60.2%	2.6	50.6%	65.0%	14.7




Top 10 Players by Efficiency

Name	FIBA Code	Pos	Age	Height	Min.	Points	FGA	FG%	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	eFG%	EFF
Lara Sanders	TUR	C	30.0	191.0	36.0	22.0	13.5	54.3%	13.5	54.3%	0.0		54.3%	25.8
Elizabeth Cambage	AUS	C	25.0	203.0	23.3	23.5	15.8	57.9%	15.5	59.1%	0.3	0.0%	57.9%	25.0
Clarissa Dos Santos	BRA	PF	28.0	186.0	37.8	14.2	11.0	49.1%	9.8	53.1%	1.2	16.7%	50.0%	21.6
Ramu Tokashiki	JPN	PF	25.0	193.0	35.8	17.0	14.5	49.4%	14.5	49.4%	0.0		49.4%	18.7
Damiris Do Amaral	BRA	SF	23.0	192.0	38.8	16.8	14.6	46.6%	10.8	50.0%	3.8	36.8%	51.4%	18.2
Astou Gueye	ESP	PF	22.0	198.0	26.6	13.3	10.8	47.7%	8.9	49.3%	1.9	40.0%	51.2%	18.0
Maya Moore	USA	F	27.0	182.0	18.6	12.0	9.4	52.0%	6.8	57.4%	2.6	38.1%	57.3%	17.6
Asami Yoshida	JPN	PG	29.0	165.0	28.0	11.2	8.5	47.1%	6.3	50.0%	2.2	38.5%	52.0%	17.5
Penelope Taylor	AUS	F	35.0	183.0	28.0	13.2	10.5	42.9%	6.5	51.3%	4.0	29.2%	48.4%	17.0
Diana Taurasi	USA	G	34.0	182.0	23.9	15.6	9.0	56.9%	1.9	53.3%	7.1	57.9%	79.9%	16.1
Mean			27.9	187.4	28.8	15.7	11.5	50.8%	9.1	53.0%	2.5	42.7%	55.4%	19.3



Shooting Stats

Women's Olympic Games - 2008, 2012, 2016



3-pt shooting does not appear to show signs of increasing from the 2012 Olympic Games to the 2016 Olympic Games. Although 3-pt shooting has not increased, overall 3-pt accuracy and shooting efficiency rates increased over the last 3 tournaments. Additionally, shooting efficiency rates in the Olympic Games have been lower than those in the WNBA Playoffs over the last 2 tournaments.



FIBA
We Are Basketball




WORLD ASSOCIATION OF
BASKETBALL
COACHES

Game Analysis

Women's Olympic Games - 2008, 2012, 2016

Distribution of Winning Margins



There was a significant increase in balanced games and a very significant decrease in unbalanced games from the 2008 Olympic Games through the 2012 and 2016 Olympic Games. We also saw the highest number of close games in the most recent Olympic Games than the last 3 tournaments.



League Comparison Stats




		Women's Olympic Games			WNBA Playoffs		
		2008	2012	2016	2008	2012	2016
Game Overview	Total Games	38	38	38	21	19	16
	Games per Team	6.6	6.6	6.6	5.3	4.8	4.0
Competitiveness	Points	70.9	68.6	73.7	70.9	77.6	85.1
	Final Score Margin	21.2	16.6	16.9	8.4	9.2	11.5
	Min Score Margin	1	3	1	1	1	1
	Max Score Margin	56	52	65	19	17	20
	Blowout Games	23	16	16	3	3	5
	% of Blowout Games	60.5%	42.1%	42.1%	14.3%	15.8%	31.3%
	EFF	72.4	71.7	81.9	73.6	82.5	95.2
Offensive Stats	OREB	12.1	10.7	9.1	8.4	11.1	9.6
	OREB %	32.3%	30.9%	27.7%	26.9%	33.3%	29.5%
	AS	12.2	15.8	19.3	15.1	14.9	19.2
	TO	17.1	15.0	14.7	14.6	12.9	12.6
	eFG%	46.1%	43.8%	48.3%	45.8%	47.3%	50.3%
	TS%	53.3%	50.6%	55.2%	53.6%	54.6%	58.7%
	FGA	62.4	64.0	63.1	62.24	67.05	68.00
	FGM	25.9	25.6	27.6	26.0	28.9	31.9
	FG%	41.5%	40.0%	43.7%	41.8%	43.1%	46.9%
	3PA	17.4	15.7	16.3	15.1	16.4	14.4
	3PM	5.8	4.8	5.8	5.0	5.6	4.7
	3P%	33.2%	30.3%	35.9%	33.2%	33.9%	32.8%
	2PA	45.1	48.3	46.8	47.1	50.7	53.6
	2PM	20.2	20.9	21.7	21.0	23.4	27.2
	2P%	44.7%	43.2%	46.4%	44.5%	46.1%	50.7%
	FTA	18.3	16.8	17.0	17.7	17.8	20.3
FTM	13.3	12.6	12.8	13.9	14.2	16.6	
FT%	72.3%	74.6%	75.3%	78.4%	79.6%	81.7%	
Defensive Stats	DREB	25.4	24.0	23.6	23.0	22.2	23.0
	DREB %	67.7%	69.1%	72.3%	73.1%	66.7%	70.5%
	ST	8.2	6.8	7.8	7.2	7.4	7.2
	BS	2.3	3.4	2.9	3.7	3.9	3.6
	PF	18.5	17.8	18.5	19.2	17.7	19.8




Appendix

Women's Olympic Games - 2016



Women's Olympic Games - 2008, 2012, 2016






Appendix


Women's Olympic Games - 2008, 2012, 2016

Guards Bigs Perimeters


Distribution of FGA by Position




Distribution of 3PA by Position




Distribution of Assists by Position




Distribution of Steals by Position



Distribution of Offensive Rebounds by Position



Distribution of Defensive Rebounds by Position














Appendix

Women's Olympic Games - 2008, 2012, 2016

2008 2012 2016





OLYMPIC GAMES – BASKETBALL TOURNAMENT

OLYMPIC GAMES: TOURNAMENT FOR MEN (2008, 2012, 2016)



Team Box Score Stats



Men's Olympic Games - 2016


		Points	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	FTA	FT%	EFF	eFG%	TS%	OREB	DREB	OREB %	DREB %	AS	TO	ST	BS	PF	FD	PR
Top 4	1 USA	100.9	45.6	53.4%	28.1	36.9%	28.0	75.0%	121.5	54.2%	63.1%	13.9	27.8	33.3%	66.7%	24.0	11.3	8.8	3.5	21.3	24.3	78.4
	2 SRB	83.1	41.3	53.9%	22.3	33.1%	21.4	77.2%	95.8	52.5%	60.9%	9.0	23.4	27.8%	72.2%	22.1	13.5	8.4	2.0	24.3	22.9	78.4
	3 ESP	86.1	39.3	52.5%	26.5	36.8%	21.1	74.0%	99.5	53.6%	61.2%	8.9	24.9	26.3%	73.7%	20.5	10.6	7.6	3.0	21.1	22.4	74.3
	4 AUS	85.4	42.3	58.0%	22.3	33.1%	18.6	76.5%	102.3	55.1%	62.2%	9.1	23.6	27.9%	72.1%	24.3	11.8	6.4	2.3	21.8	21.4	76.0
	Mean	88.9	42.1	54.5%	24.8	35.2%	22.3	75.6%	104.8	53.9%	61.9%	10.2	24.9	29.1%	70.9%	22.7	11.8	7.8	2.7	22.1	22.7	76.8
Middle 4	5 CRO	80.5	35.2	52.6%	23.8	35.0%	24.2	76.6%	87.7	52.5%	62.6%	8.2	26.8	23.3%	76.7%	17.3	13.5	4.8	1.3	19.7	22.7	82.2
	6 FRA	81.7	45.0	55.9%	15.2	36.3%	18.5	80.2%	99.2	55.5%	63.6%	8.2	25.3	24.4%	75.6%	21.2	13.8	6.3	3.5	19.7	22.0	78.3
	7 LTU	76.0	39.8	54.0%	22.3	33.6%	14.3	73.3%	83.2	52.7%	58.2%	7.5	23.7	24.1%	75.9%	17.8	14.2	5.8	3.5	22.5	19.3	86.3
	8 ARG	86.5	39.3	46.6%	32.3	35.1%	23.0	68.8%	85.5	49.3%	56.4%	7.7	26.3	22.5%	77.5%	16.2	13.5	8.7	2.8	21.7	23.0	88.8
	Mean	81.2	39.8	52.4%	23.4	34.9%	20.0	74.6%	88.9	52.4%	60.0%	7.9	25.5	23.6%	76.4%	18.1	13.8	6.4	2.8	20.9	21.8	83.9
Bottom 4	9 BRA	82.2	46.8	50.0%	21.6	29.6%	22.2	73.0%	89.4	48.2%	56.1%	11.4	24.4	31.8%	68.2%	19.2	11.4	6.8	1.4	24.2	21.8	81.4
	10 VEN	63.0	40.2	43.8%	20.4	23.5%	17.6	76.1%	58.8	40.9%	48.9%	9.6	13.4	41.7%	58.3%	16.6	10.6	7.8	1.4	23.8	19.2	88.8
	11 NGR	78.4	35.4	49.2%	27.8	36.7%	17.2	75.6%	81.2	51.7%	58.5%	8.0	23.4	25.5%	74.5%	17.0	15.6	4.8	5.0	21.8	17.8	88.2
	12 CHN	63.6	37.4	48.7%	15.4	24.7%	22.4	70.5%	56.2	45.3%	55.1%	5.2	16.8	23.6%	76.4%	14.6	16.6	7.6	2.4	26.4	22.2	93.2
	Mean	71.8	40.0	47.9%	21.3	29.6%	19.9	73.6%	71.4	46.7%	54.7%	8.6	19.5	30.5%	69.5%	16.9	13.6	6.8	2.6	24.1	20.3	87.9



Team Comparison by Final Rank



Men's Olympic Games - 2016



A comparison between the Top 4, Middle 4 and Bottom 4 teams showed clear differences in box score statistics. Overall we are seeing the Top 4 teams perform better in most instances. Specifically, the Top 4 teams scored significantly more points resulting in higher 2P%, 3P%, eFG% and TS%. Additionally, the Top 4 teams had Efficiency ratings nearly 18% better than the Middle 4 teams, and 47% better than the Bottom 4 Teams. Top 4 Teams also created significantly more Assist opportunities than the Middle 4 and the Bottom 4 Teams.



Player Performance



Men's Olympic Games - 2016

Top 10 Players by Points per Game

Name	FIBA Code	Pos	Age	Height	Min.	Points	FGA	FG%	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	eFG%	EFF
Bojan Bogdanovic	CRO	SG	27.0	204.0	34.5	25.3	14.8	50.6%	8.2	55.1%	6.7	45.0%	60.7%	19.2
Patty Mills	AUS	G	28.0	183.0	25.4	21.3	16.0	47.3%	7.3	62.7%	8.7	34.4%	56.7%	15.0
Jianlian Yi	CHN	F	28.0	212.0	32.2	20.4	16.4	43.9%	13.4	43.3%	3.0	46.7%	48.2%	17.6
Pau Gasol	ESP	C	36.0	215.0	27.4	19.5	13.8	54.5%	10.9	55.2%	2.9	52.2%	60.0%	23.1
Kevin Durant	USA	G	28.0	205.0	28.5	19.4	11.3	57.8%	5.9	57.4%	5.4	58.1%	71.7%	21.9
Mantas Kalnietis	LTU	G	30.0	195.0	31.3	18.0	11.0	60.6%	6.7	72.5%	4.3	42.3%	68.9%	22.0
Facundo Campazzo	ARG	PG	25.0	179.0	27.7	15.8	12.3	44.6%	6.2	51.4%	6.2	37.8%	54.1%	17.0
Manu Ginobili	ARG	SG	39.0	198.0	25.7	15.0	10.8	40.0%	5.0	50.0%	5.8	31.4%	48.5%	13.5
Andrés Nocioni	ARG	SF	36.0	201.0	31.3	15.0	12.2	39.7%	7.0	35.7%	5.2	45.2%	49.3%	13.3
Luis Scola	ARG	PF	36.0	204.0	29.5	14.8	11.5	49.3%	7.5	53.3%	4.0	41.7%	56.5%	15.3
Mean			31.3	199.5	29.1	18.5	13.0	49.2%	7.7	53.5%	5.2	42.7%	57.8%	18.0

Top 10 Players by Effective Field Goal Percentage

Name	FIBA Code	Pos	Age	Height	Min.	Points	FGA	FG%	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	eFG%	EFF
Andrew Bogut	AUS	C	31.0	207.0	17.9	9.1	5.4	76.3%	5.3	75.7%	0.1	100.0%	77.6%	16.3
Kevin Durant	USA	G	28.0	205.0	28.5	19.4	11.3	57.8%	5.9	57.4%	5.4	58.1%	71.7%	21.9
Mantas Kalnietis	LTU	G	30.0	195.0	31.3	18.0	11.0	60.6%	6.7	72.5%	4.3	42.3%	68.9%	22.0
Mario Hezonja	CRO	SG	21.0	200.0	21.3	9.0	6.2	48.6%	2.2	46.2%	4.0	50.0%	64.9%	10.2
Thomas Heurtel	FRA	PG	27.0	188.0	18.7	9.7	7.3	59.1%	5.2	67.7%	2.2	38.5%	64.8%	12.7
Nando De Colo	FRA	G	29.0	196.0	23.3	14.7	8.7	59.6%	6.5	66.7%	2.2	38.5%	64.4%	15.7
Vitor Alves Benite	BRA	SG	26.0	190.0	12.4	7.8	5.8	51.7%	2.6	61.5%	3.2	43.8%	63.8%	6.2
Michael Umeh	NGR	PG	32.0	185.0	21.4	11.0	8.4	47.6%	3.6	44.4%	4.8	50.0%	61.9%	11.0
Renaldas Seibutis	LTU	SG	31.0	196.0	21.7	7.8	5.7	52.9%	3.5	57.1%	2.2	46.2%	61.8%	9.5
DeMarcus Cousins	USA	C	26.0	211.0	14.0	9.1	5.5	61.4%	5.5	61.4%	0.0		61.4%	13.4
Mean			28.1	198.6	21.1	11.8	7.6	58.0%	4.8	63.4%	2.7	48.6%	66.8%	14.3




Top 10 Players by Efficiency

Name	FIBA Code	Pos	Age	Height	Min.	Points	FGA	FG%	2PA	2P%	3PA	3P%	eFG%	EFF
Pau Gasol	ESP	C	36.0	215.0	27.4	19.5	13.8	54.5%	10.9	55.2%	2.9	52.2%	60.0%	23.1
Mantas Kalnietis	LTU	G	30.0	195.0	31.3	18.0	11.0	60.6%	6.7	72.5%	4.3	42.3%	68.9%	22.0
Kevin Durant	USA	G	28.0	205.0	28.5	19.4	11.3	57.8%	5.9	57.4%	5.4	58.1%	71.7%	21.9
Bojan Bogdanovic	CRO	SG	27.0	204.0	34.5	25.3	14.8	50.6%	8.2	55.1%	6.7	45.0%	60.7%	19.2
Jianlian Yi	CHN	F	28.0	212.0	32.2	20.4	16.4	43.9%	13.4	43.3%	3.0	46.7%	48.2%	17.6
Facundo Campazzo	ARG	PG	25.0	179.0	27.7	15.8	12.3	44.6%	6.2	51.4%	6.2	37.8%	54.1%	17.0
Andrew Bogut	AUS	C	31.0	207.0	17.9	9.1	5.4	76.3%	5.3	75.7%	0.1	100.0%	77.6%	16.3
Nando De Colo	FRA	G	29.0	196.0	23.3	14.7	8.7	59.6%	6.5	66.7%	2.2	38.5%	64.4%	15.7
Nene Hilario	BRA	C	34.0	211.0	28.6	13.0	10.4	50.0%	10.0	50.0%	0.4	50.0%	51.0%	15.6
Ikechukwu Diogu	NGR	PF	33.0	204.0	28.0	12.0	8.6	37.2%	4.6	39.1%	4.0	35.0%	45.3%	15.6
Mean			30.2	203.1	27.5	16.9	11.2	52.9%	7.7	56.1%	3.5	45.9%	60.1%	18.7



Shooting Stats

Men's Olympic Games - 2008, 2012, 2016



3-pt shooting appears to show signs of increasing from the 2012 Olympic Games to the 2016 Olympic Games. We should expect to see even higher rates in the 2021 Olympics. However, as 3-pt shooting increased, overall 3-pt accuracy and shooting efficiency rates decreased slightly. Interestingly, shooting efficiency rates in the Olympic Games have been higher than those in the NBA Playoffs over the last 3 tournaments.



FIBA
We Are Basketball




WORLD ASSOCIATION OF
BASKETBALL
COACHES

Game Analysis

Men's Olympic Games - 2008, 2012, 2016

Distribution of Winning Margins



There was a significant increase in balanced games and a significant decrease in unbalanced games from the 2008 Olympic Games through the 2012 and 2016 Olympic Games. However, we also saw the fewest close games in the most recent Olympic Games than the last 3 tournaments.



League Comparison Stats




		Men's Olympic Games			NBA Playoffs		
		2008	2012	2016	2008	2012	2016
Game Overview	Total Games	38	38	38	86	84	86
	Games per Team	6.6	6.6	6.6	10.8	10.5	10.8
Competitiveness	Points	81.3	80.4	81.9	79.2	77.5	83.3
	Final Score Margin	20.6	16.4	17.5	12.5	9.9	14.5
	Min Score Margin	2	1	1	1	1	1
	Max Score Margin	49	83	57	39	33	38
	Blowout Games	20	16	18	30	19	31
	% of Blowout Games	52.6%	42.1%	47.4%	34.9%	22.6%	36.0%
	EFF	86.5	85.6	91.0	88.1	86.1	92.1
Offensive Stats	OREB	10.4	9.4	9.0	9.3	9.0	8.8
	OREB %	30.6%	27.8%	27.6%	27.3%	25.7%	24.5%
	AS	13.4	17.2	19.7	16.6	15.4	16.7
	TO	14.8	12.9	12.9	10.2	10.7	10.5
	eFG%	53.6%	50.9%	51.6%	48.5%	47.7%	49.6%
	TS%	61.3%	57.7%	59.5%	56.9%	55.3%	56.5%
	FGA	61.8	65.3	64.3	64.81	65.76	69.39
	FGM	28.9	29.3	29.2	28.9	28.9	30.6
	FG%	46.8%	44.9%	45.4%	44.5%	43.9%	44.1%
	3PA	22.6	22.3	23.4	14.7	14.9	21.5
	3PM	8.3	7.9	7.9	5.2	5.0	7.7
	3P%	36.8%	35.4%	33.7%	35.3%	33.5%	35.7%
	2PA	39.1	43.0	40.8	50.1	50.9	47.8
	2PM	20.6	21.4	21.3	23.7	23.9	22.9
	2P%	52.6%	49.8%	52.2%	47.3%	47.0%	47.8%
	FTA	20.4	19.9	20.9	21.9	19.8	19.7
FTM	15.1	13.9	15.6	16.5	14.9	14.8	
FT%	73.9%	69.8%	74.8%	75.4%	75.3%	74.9%	
Defensive Stats	DREB	23.7	24.5	23.7	24.8	25.9	27.2
	DREB %	69.4%	72.2%	72.4%	72.7%	74.3%	75.5%
	ST	8.1	5.9	7.1	5.5	6.2	6.2
	BS	2.6	3.1	2.7	4.2	4.7	4.2
	PF	20.5	20.7	22.2	19.0	17.7	17.6

*NBA Stats adjusted to 40 minute game length to align with FIBA rules




Appendix

Men's Olympic Games - 2016



Men's Olympic Games - 2008, 2012, 2016






Appendix


Men's Olympic Games - 2008, 2012, 2016

Guards Bigs Perimeters


Distribution of FGA by Position




Distribution of 3PA by Position




Distribution of Assists by Position




Distribution of Steals by Position



Distribution of Offensive Rebounds by Position



Distribution of Defensive Rebounds by Position





Appendix

Men's Olympic Games - 2008, 2012, 2016

2008 2012 2016

